



**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CWP-32657-2025
Date of Decision : **17.11.2025**

SAT BHUSHAN GARG AND ANOTHERPetitioners

VERSUS

STATE OF PUNJAB AND ANOTHERRespondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE KULDEEP TIWARI

Present : Mr. Ankit Midha, Advocate,
for the petitioners.

Mr. Sahil R. Bakshi, AAG, Punjab.

KULDEEP TIWARI, J.(Oral)

1. The main grievance which propelled the present petitioners to approach this Court by casting instant petition under Article 226/227 of the Constitution of India, is the indolent and lackadaisical approach of the respondent authorities, in not deciding their legal notice dated 13.09.2025 (Annexure P-7).

2. Learned counsel for the petitioners submits that at this stage, the petitioners would be satisfied, in case a *mandamus* is passed upon respondents, to take a final decision on his representation (*supra*), in a time bound manner.

3. On the other hand, learned State counsel submits that he has no objection, if the aforesaid direction is passed upon the respondent authorities.

4. In view of the above, and considering the prayer, as made by learned counsel for the petitioners, being innocuous and *bona fide*, this Court, without observing anything on merits of the instant case, directs respondent no.1, to take a final decision on the legal notice(*supra*), by passing a speaking order, within a period of six weeks from the date of receipt of a certified copy of this order.

5. **Disposed of** accordingly.

November 17, 2025
dharamvir

(KULDEEP TIWARI)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned.	:	Yes/No
Whether Reportable.	:	Yes/No