

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH

CRR-2581-2024 (O&M)
DATE OF DECISION: 21.05.2025

MANJU @ MANJU DEVI

...PETITIONER

Versus

KULDEEP AND ANOTHER

... RESPONDENTS

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SANDEEP MOUDGIL

Present: Mr. Himanshu Joshi, Advocate for petitioner.

Mr. B.S.Virk, Sr. DAG, Haryana.

Mr. Naveen Kumar, Advocate for respondent No. 2.

SANDEEP MOUDGIL, J (ORAL)

CRM-12424-2025

This application has been filed for compounding of the offences.

Learned counsel for the parties are ad idem that the matter has been compromised between the parties.

For the reasons mentioned in the application and keeping in view the submission made by counsel for the parties, the application is allowed and the offence involved in the present FIR are compounded.

Main case

This revision petition has been filed against the impugned judgment and order dated 28.11.2023 passed by the JMIC,

Mahendergarh in CIS No. NACT No. 66 of 2022; and Judgment and order dated 04.11.2024 passed by the Additional Session Judge, Narnaul in a case no. CRA/332/2023; whereby the Courts below has convicted and awarded sentence to the petitioner to undergo SI for a period of one year for commission of offence punishable under Section 138 of NI Act and also directed to pay compensation to the tune of Rs. 12 lakhs to the complainant.

During the pendency of the dispute, the parties have compromised the matter and filed the present petition for quashing of the impugned order.

Vide order dated 22.04.2025, parties were directed to appear before the Illaqa Magistrate/Trial Court and report with regard to the genuineness of the compromise was called for.

The report dated 01.05.2025 has been received from Judicial Magistrate First Class, Mohindergarh, stating that the parties have entered into a compromise, which is genuine, voluntary and without any coercion or undue influence.

Learned counsel, for the petitioner submits that since the matter has been amicably settled between the parties, therefore, prays for setting aside the judgments/orders passed by the Courts below, the petitioner be ordered to be acquitted of the charges.

Learned counsel appearing on behalf of the respondent does not dispute the compromise arrived at between the parties.

In view of the above, finding the prayer of the petitioner to be genuine and in view of the fact that the matter has been amicably settled between the parties, the present revision petition is allowed.

As a result of compounding, the impugned judgment and order dated 28.11.2023 passed by the JMIC, Mahendergarh in CIS No. NACT No. 66 of 2022; and Judgment and order dated 04.11.2024 passed by the Additional Session Judge, Narnaul in a case no. CRA/332/2023, is hereby quashed qua the petitioner.

(SANDEEP MOUDGIL)
JUDGE

21.05.2025
anuradha

<i>Whether speaking/reasoned</i>	<i>Yes/No</i>
<i>Whether reportable</i>	<i>Yes/No</i>