



IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

220

CRM-M-63833-2024(O&M)

Date of Decision: 07.01.2025

SHARIF ALIAS SADU

....Petitioner

VERSUS

STATE OF HARYANA

....Respondent

CORAM : HON'BLE MRS. JUSTICE MANISHA BATRA

Present : Mr. Khalid Tauru, Advocate &
Mr. Deepak Nagar, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr. Neeraj Poswal, AAG, Haryana.

MANISHA BATRA, J. (Oral)

CRM-50176-2024

The application is allowed as prayed for.

Main Case

1. The instant petition for grant of regular bail is filed by the petitioner in case arising out of FIR No.810 dated 25.11.2017 registered under Sections 5/13(2), 17 of the Haryana Gauvansh Sanrakshan and Gausamvardhan Act and 11 of the Prevention of Cruelty to Animals Act, 1960 and 186, 332, 307, 120-B of IPC and 25 of Arms Act at Police Station Sadar Nuh District Mewat. He had been extended benefit of regular bail by the Court of Additional Sessions Judge, Nuh, vide order dated 29.12.2017 (Annexure P-2). He had misused that concession of bail and absented himself on 07.10.2021. His bail was cancelled and bonds were forfeited to



the State. He was arrested on 12.08.2024 and in custody since then.

2. It is submitted by learned counsel for the petitioner that the absence of the petitioner was not intentional. He has undergone sufficient period in custody. He is ready to abide by terms and conditions to be imposed for grant of bail and would not misuse the concession of bail so granted to him. It is therefore, urged that the petition be allowed.

3. Learned State counsel who has advance notice of the petition on the other hand, submits that the petitioner is a habitual offender who is involved in two more cases, one of which is of similar nature. He has misused the concession of bail and is likely to abscond again, if extended benefit of bail to him. It is, therefore, urged that he does not deserve to be extended benefit of bail.

4. I have heard learned counsel for the parties at considerable length and have gone through the record carefully.

5. Petitioner is in incarceration for 06 months and 18 days. The trial is likely to take time. Keeping in view the period of incarceration of the petitioner and above discussed facts and circumstances but without meaning to make any comment on the merits of the case, I am of the considered opinion that the petitioner deserves to be extended benefit of bail. Hence, the petition is allowed and petitioner is ordered to be admitted to bail subject to his furnishing personal and surety bonds **of two sureties** to the satisfaction of the learned Trial Court and subject to the condition that he shall mark his presence before the concerned Station House Officer on first Monday of every month during the pendency of the petition. He shall disclose his



present as well as permanent address before the learned trial Court at the time of furnishing of bonds and shall also give copy of his Aadhar Card, PAN Card if any and details of his mobile phone number to the learned trial Court and in case, any change in his address or mobile phone number takes place, then he shall inform about the same to the learned trial Court in advance.

6. In case of violation of any of the above conditions, the jurisdictional Court shall be empowered to consider the application for cancellation, if any, and pass appropriate orders in accordance with law.

07.01.2025
Deepak Patwal

(MANISHA BATRA)
JUDGE

- 1. Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No
- 2. Whether reportable : Yes/No