



IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH

115

CWP-31983-2025 (O&M)

Date of Decision: 17.11.2025

SUKHJIT SINGH

... Petitioner

V/S

NATIONAL CONSUMER DISPUTES REDRESSAL
COMMISSION AND OTHERS

... Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE GURVINDER SINGH GILL
HON'BLE MRS. JUSTICE RAMESH KUMARI

Present: Mr. Sukhandeep Singh, Advocate
Mr. Brijesh Kumar Jangra, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. Nitin Thatai, Advocate for HDFC Bank.

GURVINDER SINGH GILL, J.

1. The petitioner has approached this Court assailing order dated 29.05.2024, Annexure P-10, passed by respondent No.1, vide which the presence of the petitioner had been ordered to be secured through bailable warrants.
2. Learned counsel submits that his presence in the said proceeding before National Consumer Disputes Redressal Commission was only in the capacity of the witness as he supposed to produce some record. Learned counsel for the petitioner submitted that on account of some miscommunication that he could not appear before the Commission earlier, and that since as of now, he has put in appearance before the Commission concerned, pursuant to order dated 04.11.2025 and has also furnished the requisite documents, his presence would no longer be required before the said Commission and that as such, the instant petition may be disposed off since the petitioner has already done the needful.



- 3. In view of the aforesaid submission, particularly the fact that the petitioner has already appeared before the Commission and produced the relevant record, his further presence before the Commission may not be required. In any case, the petitioner was required to appear before the Commission only in the capacity of witness and having already appeared and done the needful, no further directions are required to be issued by this Court.
- 4. The petition as such is disposed of.
- 5. It is however made clear that in case, the petitioner is ever required to appear again before the Commission and process is issued, he should duly comply with the requisite direction as may be issued.
- 6. Needless to mention that the impugned order pertaining to the issuance of bailable warrant is no longer required to be complied with having been rendered redundant.

(GURVINDER SINGH GILL)
JUDGE

(RAMESH KUMARI)
JUDGE

17.11.2025
pooja saini

Whether Speaking/Reasoned	Yes/No
Whether Reportable	Yes/No