



IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH  
(215)

**CRM-M-61223-2025 (O & M)**  
**Date of decision: 07.11.2025**

Jagwinder Singh @ Gurinder Singh Ghali

.... Petitioner

V/s

State of Punjab

...Respondent

**CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE JASJIT SINGH BEDI**

Present: Mr. Naresh Jain, Advocate, for the petitioner.

Mr. M.S. Toor, AAG, Punjab

\*\*\*\*\*

**JASJIT SINGH BEDI, J. (Oral)**

The prayer in the present petition under Section 483 of BNSS, 2023 is for the grant of regular bail to the petitioner in case FIR No.27 dated 08.03.2021 under Sections 302, 307, 148, 149 and 120-B IPC and Sections 25/27 of the Arms Act registered at Police Station Kot Isse Khan, District Moga.

2. The brief facts of the case are that the present case had been registered on the statement of Karanjit Singh. He stated that he had two sons. His elder son Roman Singh was living in USA and his younger son Ivraj singh was living in England. Jagwinder Singh @ Gurinder Singh @ Ghalli son of Lachman Singh who is a citizen of the UK was now living in house No. 1177/A Teacher Colony Zira Road Moga and is his (Karanjit

Singh's) brother-in-law. Jagwinder Singh had two daughters from his first



marriage namely, Karamvir Kaur and Lovepreet Kaur and the name of his first wife was Sarabjit Kaur. After getting divorce from his first wife, Jagwinder Singh got married with his sister-in-law namely, Karamjit Kaur. His daughter, Karamvir Kaur, who was from his first marriage, got married with his (Karanjit Singh's) son Ivraj Singh and it was a love marriage. Jagwinder Singh, his wife and his relatives were not happy from this marriage and they kept a grudge against his (Karanjit Singh's) family. In December 2011, when he along with his wife Harwinder Kaur were going to Raikot Kalan, Jagwinder Singh assaulted him and his wife with murderous intent and caused injuries to them and broke their arms and legs. An FIR No. 83/2011 under Section 307,325,148,149,120-B of IPC PS Tasika District Amritsar was lodged against Jagwinder Singh etc. The accused used to put pressure on them (complainant party) to withdraw the said case and threatened to kill them. Then they approached the Punjab and Haryana High Court through a writ and by order of the Punjab and Haryana High Court, they were given security by the District Police Amritsar for District Amritsar only. Jagwinder Singh @ Gurwinder Singh @ Ghalli got lodged a false FIR No. 160/2014 against them (complainant party) in PS Kot Isse Khan in order to compel them to compromise with them (complainant party). They approached the higher police authorities for canceling the case FIR no. 160/2014 and police after thorough investigation found that the case in FIR no.160/2014 was false and moved a cancellation report in the court. Jagwinder Singh had also moved a false complaint in the court of JMIG Moga against him and his wife in respect of the false occurrence in which he and his wife were summoned by the court and got bail in that complaint.



::3::

They also filed a quashing petition in the Punjab and Haryana High Court for quashing of the complaint. They also moved a different petition before the High Court for their exemption from personal appearance in the court and providing security to them. The Punjab and Haryana High court had stayed the final decision of the complaint case which was pending in the court of JMIC, Moga and their application for exemption for personal appearance and providing police security was kept under consideration. He and his wife were coming to Moga in connection with the date of hearing in the complaint case. For the last three or four dates of hearing, they were apprehending that the accused Jagwinder Singh @ Gurwinder Singh @ Ghalli was planning to kill them. That is why, they had got exemption from the court for personal appearance for the last two hearings and on dated 04.03.2021 when they were summoned by the court and they were about to come on dated 04.03.2021, prior to that, they requested the SSP, Moga online that they would attend the hearing but they had the apprehension of death at the hands of accused Jagwinder Singh. They attended the hearing on dated 04.03.2021 and the same was adjourned to 08.03.2021 and they were directed to appear on dated 08.03.2021 by the court. On dated 06.03.2021, he requested SSP, Moga online that he may be provided security in the court on the date of hearing i.e. 08.03.2021. The SSP, Moga provided security to them from the police station City Moga. The police security of PS City Moga were with them when they attended the hearing in the court at 10:30. After that the police security made him and his wife sit in their car and they departed for Amritsar. When they crossed the Bughipura Chowk on a car I-20 bearing Registration no.PB02DL-0133, which was being driven by him



::4::

and his wife Harwinder Kaur was sitting on the seat next to him at 11:00 Am, when they reached in the territory of village Gagra, two cars started chasing them out of which one was a Bolero in which three or four youths and one Sikh boy who was wearing turban, whom he could identify, if brought before him were travelling. The other car was make Vento, colour white which was being driven by accused Jagdeep Singh @ Jagga and accuse,d Jagwinder Singh was sitting next to him. Accused Jagdeep Singh drove the car Vento and started driving parallel to his car to his side. Then accused Jagwinder Singh with murderous intention to kill him and his wife fired a gun shot with his 315 bore rifle on them which hit on the side pillar of his car's window and the glass window of his side of his car was broken. He reduced the speed of his car and when he tried to reverse his car, then accused Jagwinder Singh came running towards his side with his rifle and with murderous intention, he fired another shot on him and his wife, which missed. Then he reversed his car and started coming towards Moga and the accused also started chasing them in their respective vehicles and vehicle Bolero crossed them and went away. Then at 11:15 AM he, after speeding his car, stopped his car at Police Naka placed on Lohara Chowk where he and his wife Harwinder Kaur raised alarm to rescue them. In the meanwhile, accused Jagwinder Singh stopped his car on the side of their car and he came out from his car and fired a shot from his Rifle 315 bore with murderous intention on him and his wife. He came out from his car, but the fire struck on the right side of the abdomen of his wife. On raising an alarm by them, the accused fled away from the spot with their respective weapons on the car make Vento. Thereafter, his wife was shifted to Civil Hospital, Moga from



where she was referred to DMC Ludhiana, where she was declared as brought dead by the doctors.

3. The learned counsel for the petitioner contends that after 26 of the 30 prosecution witnesses had been examined, an application was moved under Section 311 Cr.P.C. read with Section 91 Cr.P.C. for recalling the complainant and certain other witnesses. The said order had been challenged by the complainant before this Court and the Trial had been stayed. Later, the said petition was dismissed and now has been challenged before the Hon'ble Supreme Court where the further proceedings before the Trial Court have been stayed vide order dated 21.08.2025 (Annexure P-4). In fact, the petitioner has been falsely implicated in multiple cases at the instance of the complainant. As he is in custody since 09.03.2021 but the Trial stands stayed, the same is not likely to be concluded in the near future and therefore, the petitioner is entitled to the concession of bail.

4. The learned counsel for the State, on the other hand, contends that the petitioner is one of the main assailants. The weapon of offence was recovered from him. Though, there is some delay in the conclusion of the Trial, the fact remains that nearly the entire prosecution evidence has been recorded. The proceedings have undoubtedly been stayed by the Hon'ble Supreme Court but the case is likely to come up for final hearing in the near future. In this situation, the petitioner should not be granted the concession of bail because there is every chance that he would flee from justice.

5. I have heard the learned counsel for the parties.

6. Admittedly, the petitioner is one of the main accused. Though, the proceedings before the Trial Court have been stayed by the Hon'ble



Supreme Court, the said petition is likely to be decided soon. Even otherwise, the entire prosecution evidence stands recorded and the Trial is at the fag end. In this situation, the petitioner is not entitled to the concession of bail.

7. In view of the above, I find no merit in the present petition and therefore, the same stands dismissed.

8. Needless to say, if the Trial in the case is not concluded within the next 06 months, the petitioner is at liberty to reiterate his prayer for the grant of bail.

9. The pending application(s), if any, shall stand disposed of accordingly.

November 07, 2025  
sukhpreet

( JASJIT SINGH BEDI)  
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No

Whether reportable : Yes/No