



**249 IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
CHANDIGARH
CRM-M-63362-2024 (O&M)
Date of Decision: 20.08.2025**

LOVEDEEP SINGH @ LOVEDEEP AND OTHERS
...Petitioners

V/S

STATE OF PUNJAB AND ANOTHER
...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MS. JUSTICE SHALINI SINGH NAGPAL

Present: Mr. Ashish Pal Kaushal, Advocate
for the petitioners.

Mr. Hardeep Singh Wadhwa, DAG Punjab.

Mr. Jaswinder Singh, Advocate
for respondent No. 2.

SHALINI SINGH NAGPAL J. (Oral)

In the petition under Section 528, Bharatiya Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita (B.N.S.S.) 2023, the prayer is for quashing FIR No.0017 dated 07.02.2021 (Annexure P-1) under Sections 498-A and 406 of Indian Penal Code, registered at Police Station Tanda, District Hoshiarpur and all consequential proceedings arising therefrom, on the basis of compromise dated 20.11.2024 (Annexure P-2) effected between the parties.

On 18.12.2024, this Court directed the parties to appear before the trial Court/Illaq Magistrate for recording of their statements with regard to compromise dated 20.11.2024 on 10.01.2025 or any other date convenient.

In compliance of the aforesaid order, parties appeared before learned Judicial Magistrate Ist Class, Dasuya on 10.01.2025. Statement of the Investigating Officer, ASI Jagdeep Singh was also recorded on 22.01.2025. Learned Judicial Magistrate Ist Class, Dasuya has submitted his report recording satisfaction that all the parties have entered into a

valid compromise without any influence or coercion. Point-wise report as under has been submitted:

1.	Name of persons arrayed as accused in the FIR;	There are only four accused namely Lovedeep Singh @ Lovedeep, Raj Kumar, Sushma Devi and Preetika @ Pooja arrayed as accused in the present case
2.	Whether any accused is proclaimed offender;	The accused have not been declared as proclaimed offender in the present case
3.	Whether the compromise is genuine, voluntary, and without any coercion or undue influence;	Yes
4.	Whether the accused persons are involved in any other FIR or not; and	The accused are not involved in any other FIR
5.	As to know how many victims/complainants are there in the FIR and all the victims/complainant as well as accused are party to the compromise in question	There is only one victim/complainant namely Milan in the present FIR and victim/complainant as well as accused are party to the compromise

Learned counsel for the State of Punjab and counsel for respondent No.2 have not raised any dispute regarding the factum of compromise. Admittedly petitioner No. 1 and respondent No. 2 are now divorced. Since the matter has been amicably resolved, continuation of the criminal proceedings would be an exercise in futility. The dispute which has its genesis in a matrimonial discord, warrants exercise of powers under Section 482 Cr.P.C.

Following principles of law laid down by the Full Bench Judgment of this Court in ***“Kulwinder Singh and others Vs. State of Punjab and another”*** 2007(3) RCR (Criminal) 1052 and Hon’ble Supreme Court in ***“Gian Singh Versus State of Punjab and others”*** (2012) 10 SCC 303, the petition is allowed.

FIR No.0017 dated 07.02.2021 (Annexure P-1) under Sections

CRM-M-63362-2024 (O&M)

-3-

498-A and 406 of Indian Penal Code, registered at Police Station Tanda, District Hoshiarpur, along with all the subsequent proceedings arising therefrom, is quashed qua the petitioners.

20.08.2025

Ajay Goswami

(SHALINI SINGH NAGPAL)

JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned

Whether reportable

Yes/No

Yes/No