

209

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CRM-M-64056-2024

Date of Decision: 25.02.2025

Vipin Adhana

...Petitioner

VS.

State of Haryana and another

...Respondents

Coram : Hon'ble Mr. Justice N.S.Shekhawat


Present : Mr. Rajesh Lamba, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. Gurmeet Singh, AAG, Haryana.

Mr. Kamal Chaudhary, Advocate for respondent No.2.

N.S.Shekhawat J. (Oral)

1. The petitioner has filed the present petition under Section 483(3) of BNSS with a prayer to set aside the order dated 06.11.2024 (Annexure P-5) passed by this Court and to cancel the anticipatory bail, which was allowed to respondent No.2.
2. The main ground urged by the petitioner in the main case was that respondent No.2 was not appearing before the Investigating Agency for giving his voice samples. In fact, the voice sample was necessary as respondent No.2 could be heard admitting his guilt in the voice recording.
3. Status report by way of an affidavit of Assistant Commissioner of Police, Mujesar, Faridabad has been filed by learned State counsel in Court today and the same is taken on record.



4. Learned State counsel submits that in the said reply, it has been specifically mentioned that the voice sample of respondent No.2 has been obtained on 13.02.2025 in FSL, Madhuban and the report of FSL is awaited.
5. Faced with the above, learned counsel for the petitioner does not press the present petition.
6. Dismissed as not pressed.

25.02.2025
hemlata

(N.S.SHEKHAWAT)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No
Whether reportable : Yes/No