



291 IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
CHANDIGARH

CRWP-11946-2024 (O&M)
Date of Decision: 13.02.2025

BHAJAN SINGH

...Petitioner

V/S

STATE OF PUNJAB AND OTHERS

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARPREET SINGH BRAR

Present: Mr. Naresh Chander, Advocate and
Mr. Amit Yadav, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr. Rishabh Singla, AAG Punjab.

HARPREET SINGH BRAR J. (Oral)

1. Petitioner Bhajan Singh has filed this petition under Articles 226/227 of Constitution of India for issuance of writ in the nature of mandamus directing official respondents No.1 to 3 to protect life and liberty of petitioner from the hands of respondents No.4 to 7 who are evading his privacy.

2. On 11.12.2024, following order was passed:

“Petitioner Bhajan Singh has filed this petition under Articles 226/227 of Constitution of India for issuance of writ in the nature of mandamus directing official respondents No.1 to 3 to protect life and liberty of petitioner from the hands of respondents No.4 to 7 who are evading his privacy.

Learned counsel for petitioner pointed out that relative of petitioner i.e. his niece son namely Rohit Singh and Baljit Kaur daughter of respondent No.7 are missing since 21.09.2024. Police is raiding his house during odd hours to locate their whereabouts. Petitioner is ready to cooperate with the investigating agency. In the meantime his life and liberty and privacy be protected.

Notice of motion.



On asking of this Court, Mr. Kewal Singh, Addl. A.G., Punjab accepts notice on behalf of official respondents No.1 to 6 and prays for an adjournment to file status report.

Adjourned to 13.02.2025, for filing status report.

Considering the aforesaid factual position, life and liberty as well as privacy of petitioner and that of his family members be protected. Petitioner will also fully cooperate with the investigating agency.”

3. Learned State counsel, at the outset, on instructions from ASI Patras Masih submits that during investigation, Baljit Kaur i.e. minor daughter of respondent No. 7 has been recovered and she was produced before the learned jurisdictional Court and her statement under Section 164 Cr.P.C. has been recorded. Further, the petitioner has also joined the investigation on 01.02.2025 and in case, the presence of the petitioner is required in the investigation in any manner, a prior notice under Section 160 Cr.P.C. would be issued to him.

4. In view of the statement made by learned State counsel, no further directions are required to be issued in the present petition and the same is hereby disposed of accordingly.

(HARPREET SINGH BRAR)
JUDGE

13.02.2025
Ajay Goswami

Whether speaking/reasoned	Yes/No
Whether reportable	Yes/No