



IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

309

CRM-M-60230-2025
Date of decision: 19.11.2025

RAJESH ALIAS RAJA MANMOHAN

....Petitioner

Versus

STATE OF HARYANA

...Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE YASHVIR SINGH RATHOR

Present : Mr. Sumit Gupta, Advocate with
Mr. Satbir Mor, Advocate for the petitioner.

Ms. Jasmine Gill, AAG, Haryana.

YASHVIR SINGH RATHOR. J.(Oral)

1. Prayer in this petition under Section 482 of BNSS, 2023 is for grant of anticipatory bail in case FIR No.127, dated 25.07.2025, under Sections 409, 420, 467, 468 and 471 of IPC, registered at Police Station Sector 07 Panchkula.
2. I have heard learned counsel for the parties and material on file has been perused.
3. The present FIR was lodged on the basis of an anonymous complaint in which it was alleged that Rohtash, who remained posted as Caretaker at the police Headquarters, Panchkula for a long time has committed significant corruption. Several complaints have already been made against him in the past but the complaints used to be ignored. Due to his influence, no complainant comes forward publicly. Now, he has been transferred out of the police Headquarters but his several influential associates are still present in the office. It was further alleged that he has created a fake firm namely R.S. Enterprises at Sector-15 Panchkula in the name of his wife or a relative, which is a fictitious firm and does not have a valid



- 2-

GST number. Using this firm, he got bills worth crores of rupees drawn. He himself used to prepare fake quotations and manipulated the tender process by showing this firm's rate at the lowest to get the bills sanctioned. If the computer used by him is forensically examined, this fraud can be clearly exposed. He has created photocopies of the same permission document and used them multiple times to generate bills under the same approval and bills worth several crores have been drawn in a single month. From April 2020 to December 2020, bills worth approximately Rs.25 Crores were drawn. Similarly, from January 2021 to August 2021, bills worth around Rs.50 crores were generated and most of these bills are fake and have been drawn in the name of R.S. Enterprises. With these allegations, formal FIR under Sections 409,420, 467, 468, 471 IPC was registered and investigation was conducted. Records of disputed bills and related documents of R.S. Enterprises were obtained from the Police Headquarter, Panchkula and the record was also collected from the banks. The account opening form, KYC details and bank account statements having account No. 32759573798 for the period 2013 to 2025, relating to RS Enterprises were obtained from the SBI Branch Sector 17, Panchkula, from which it was revealed that an amount of Rs. 15,57,400/- has been transferred in the account of Raja Manmohan (petitioner) from the account of RS Enterprises. The PAN Number CIEPK8912R has been mentioned in the name of Rajesh Kumar having date of birth as 26.12.1979. Thereafter, the record of bank account opening form, related documents and bank account statement for the period 2010 to 2025 pertaining to Raja Manmohan Singh bank account No. 55139983336 and gold loan account No. 41146149155 were obtained from SBI, Sector 6 Panchkula. It was further revealed that name of the petitioner has been



- 3-

mentioned as Raja Manmohan Singh son of Sh. Rattan Singh having date of birth as 26.12.1977 and PAN number has been mentioned as BWVPS8440N in the name of Raja Manmohan Singh. Petitioner was thus having two PAN Cards for a single person to embezzle the funds. On the basis of address mentioned in the documents of the accused-petitioner, the investigating agency approached the address of the accused Rajesh Kumar in District Hamirpur, Himachal Pradesh and enquired about him, where his father after seeing the photograph of the petitioner on the PAN card, admitted that the same belongs to his son but the actual name of his son is not Rajesh and rather, his name is Raja Manmohan Singh. It was also found during investigation that petitioner's fake firm RS Enterprises has raised numerous bogus bills using GST No.06AAPFR2317A1ZK for false claims, regarding which the verification is going on and during verification regarding a bill number 318 dated 24.08.2020 for supplying 3 sets of mattresses with bed sheets and pillows for NGO Mess Moginand, Panchkula, an amount of Rs.98,448/- had been drawn illegally by issuing bogus bills and no such material was ever supplied, as no rooms number 13, 14 and 15 exist in the NGO mess. It was also revealed that GST No. 06AAPFR2317A1ZK does not exist in the name of Rajesh Kumar or Raja Manmohan Singh anywhere in the records of the GST Department. During investigation, the proprietors of Nimrat Enterprise, Shree Narayan Enterprises, Shri Bala Ji Enterprises and proprietor Sandeep Singh were joined in the investigation and they disclosed that bills and quotations do not bear their signatures. It has also been brought on record that an amount of Rs.7,30,27,653/- has been credited in the account of RS Enterprises during the period 2013 to 2025 and Rs.41,39,744/- has been credited in the account of RS Enterprises during the period 2020-2021,



- 4-

which has been embezzled by the petitioner by using his false name and forged documents.

4. Learned counsel for the petitioner contended that petitioner has not been named in the FIR and he has no criminal antecedents. He has been falsely implicated and he never exchanged any money or property. The FIR has been registered on the basis of anonymous complaint due to jealousy between the main accused Rohtash and some of his co-employees. Petitioner had no role to play in the alleged crime, if any. Learned counsel further contended that main accused Rohtash has been granted anticipatory bail by a Co-ordinate Bench of this Court and since petitioner was not named in the FIR, his case is on a better footing and he too is entitled to be released on bail. His custodial interrogation is not required at all. The goods were supplied long ago and now false case has been made out against the petitioner regarding creation of fake bills. Learned counsel next contended that petitioner is ready to join the investigation and to abide by the conditions that may be imposed by the Court and benefit of anticipatory bail be extended in favour of the petitioner.

5. On the other hand, learned State counsel has opposed the bail and argued that petitioner is the main accused and beneficiary of the alleged offence. He has got registered a fake firm by the name of RS Enterprises and has received crores of rupees from the police department on the pretext of supplying some materials. However, he did not deposit GST with the department and caused loss to the State Exchequer. Fake bills were submitted and no goods were supplied. The petitioner's real name is Raja Manmohan Singh, who allegedly registered the firm *RS Enterprises* using the fake name of a proprietor namely Rajesh, and



- 5-

opened a bank account in the name of the firm. He was also having two PAN cards, out of which one was in the name of Raja Manmohan Singh and other one was in the name of Rajesh. Learned State counsel further argued that the record pertaining to RS Enterprises i.e. fake quotations, stamps, computer, laptop, printer etc. used for preparing the documents and evidence regarding embezzlement of crores of rupees is yet to be recovered and custodial interrogation of the petitioner is essential to elicit the *modus operandi* adopted by the accused in committing the crime and he does not deserve the concession of anticipatory bail.

6. It is well settled that the power to grant anticipatory bail is of extraordinary nature and is to be sparingly used with circumspection as held in 2022 (4) RCR (Criminal) 968 titled as "***Sachin @ Sachin Ahuja Vs. State of Punjab***". In SLP (Crl.) 7940 2023 titled as "***Shri Kant Upadhyay Vs. State of Bihar***", Hon'ble Apex Court has held that grant of interim protection or protection from arrest to an accused in a serious case may lead to miscarriage of justice and may hamper investigation to a great extent as it may sometimes lead to tampering or destruction of evidence. The court is cognizant of the fact that power of anticipatory bail is to be exercised in exceptional circumstances as it may cause some hindrance to normal flow of investigation which would undermine the case of the prosecution.

7. Coming to the facts of the case in hand, the allegations against the petitioner are serious in nature. He is the main accused and has got registered a fake firm by the name of RS Enterprises and has received crores of rupees from the police department on the pretext of supplying some materials. Fake bills were submitted and in some cases no goods were supplied. Petitioner's real name is



Raja Manmohan Singh, who allegedly registered the firm *RS Enterprises* using fake name of a proprietor namely Rajesh, and opened a bank account in the name of the firm. A sum of Rs. 15,57,400/- has also been transferred from the account of the firm in his personal account. He was maintaining two PAN cards i.e. one in the name of petitioner himself and other in the fake name of Rajesh. The record pertaining to RS Enterprises i.e. fake quotations, stamps, computer, laptop, printer etc. used for preparing the documents and evidence regarding embezzlement of crores of rupees is yet to be recovered and custodial interrogation of the petitioner is thus essential, especially to elicit the *modus operandi* adopted by the accused in committing the crime. Moreover, in case petitioner is interrogated under the protective umbrella of the order of interim bail to be passed by this Court, he is not likely to answer the question in the right earnest. Rather grant of anticipatory bail, at this stage, will amount to hampering the investigation.

9. Taking into consideration the gravity of the offence and the facts noticed hereinabove, I am of the opinion that petitioner does not deserve to be released on bail and the present petition is hereby dismissed.

19.11.2025
amandeep

(YASHVIR SINGH RATHOR)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned. : Yes/No
Whether Reportable. : Yes/No