

**CRM-M-60929-2025****240****IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA  
AT CHANDIGARH****CRM-M-60929-2025  
Decided on : 27.11.2025**

Binder Singh alias Manna

.....Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab

.....Respondent

**CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SANJAY VASHISTH**Present: Ms. Rajni Bala Rohilla, Legal Aid Counsel  
for the petitioner.

Mr. Bareen Pratap Singh, AAG Punjab

\*\*\*\*

**SANJAY VASHISTH, J. (ORAL)**

1. Present petition has been filed under Section 483 of BNSS, 2023, seeking regular bail in case FIR No.126, dated 22.11.2024, under Sections 105 & 61(2) of BNS, 2023, registered at Police Station Joga, District Mansa, Punjab.

2. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the FIR has been registered on the basis of doubt and assumptions only, naming number of accused, i.e. (1) Hakam Singh, (2) Ginni Singh, (3) Ghukha Singh, (4) Manjit Kaur, (5) Jaggi Singh (petitioner herein), (6) Binder Singh and (7) Bholi Kaur. Deceased in the instant case is Gurpreet Singh @ Baljinder Singh, whose dead body was lying on the passage of old dump yard (Hadda Rori). There is no eye-witness to this account.

Further contends that except raising doubt and bald allegation and even there being no motive attributing to the petitioner, he has been implicated in the case and presently he is inside jail since 24.11.2024.

**CRM-M-60929-2025****2**

Additionally, it is also contended that almost similarly situated co-accused, namely, Hakam Singh, Ginni Singh alias Harpinder Singh, Manjit Kaur, Jaggi Singh and Bholi Kaur, have been granted concession of bail by the coordinate Bench of this Court, vide orders dated 15.05.2025 (P-2), 12.09.2025(P-3), 29.05.2025(P-4) and 01.10.2025(P-5) respectively. Petitioner, who is facing similar allegations, seeks bail on the ground of parity. Thus, prays for grant of regular bail.

3. On the other hand, learned State counsel submits that the offence is serious one, as the petitioner has an active role in the murder and submits that his release may give chance of misusing of the witnesses whose statements are yet to be recorded. He however does not dispute the factum of grant of bail to other co-accused.

4. Considering the submissions addressed by both the sides and the fact that co-accused have already been granted bail; this Court is of the considered view that the petitioner deserves a fair opportunity to rehabilitate and reintegrate into society. Without expressing any opinion on the quality or sufficiency of the prosecution evidence, and having regard to the petitioner's prolonged incarceration and the fact that the trial is still at a preliminary stage, with material witnesses are yet to be examined, this Court is of the opinion that the petitioner's personal liberty ought not to be curtailed indefinitely.

5. Consequently, prayer made in the present petition is allowed. Petitioner is ordered to be released on bail, subject to his furnishing bail/surety bonds to the satisfaction of the learned trial Court/ Chief Judicial Magistrate/ Illaqa Magistrate/ Duty Magistrate concerned, if not required in any other case.

6. Needless to observe that the petitioner shall not extend any threat or



**CRM-M-60929-2025**

influence any prosecution witness(s) in any manner directly or indirectly.

7. Any of the discussion done and recorded hereinabove, shall not be construed as an expression of opinion on the facts of the case. Therefore, trial Court is expected to decide the case by taking an independent view, on the basis of evidence available on record, as expeditiously as possible, in accordance with law.

8. Petition stands disposed of.

**November 27, 2025**

reena

**(SANJAY VASHISTH)  
JUDGE**

Whether Speaking/Reasoned: YES/NO  
Whether Reportable: YES/NO