



222

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CRM-M-62813-2024**Date of Decision:26.03.2025**

SACHIN

...PETITIONER

VS.

STATE OF HARYANA

...RESPONDENT

Coram : Hon'ble Mr. Justice N.S.Shekhawat

Present : Mr. Pragyat Bhardwaj, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. Rajiv Sidhu, DAG, Haryana

N.S.Shekhawat J. (Oral)

1. The petitioner has filed the present petition under Section 483 of BNSS, with a prayer to grant regular bail to him in case FIR No.323 dated 08.07.2024, registered under Sections 118(2), 115, 190, 191(3), 351(3) of BNS, Section 140 of BSA, 2023 & Section 25 of Arms Act (Sections 61(2), 109, 117(3) of BNS added later on), Police Station Hodal, District Palwal.

2. Learned counsel for the petitioner contends that the petitioner was not initially named in the FIR and has been wrongly added as accused at late stage, without any evidence against him. It has been stated that the petitioner was named by his co-accused in his disclosure statement in the police custody, however, such evidence is inadmissible against the petitioner. He further



contends that Akash, similarly placed co-accused has been granted the concession of bail by the trial Court. Apart from that Tota Ram, Vishnu, Virender and Sahil have also been granted the concession of bail vide orders Annexure P-3. The petitioner was arrested in the present case on 24.07.2024 and is in custody since then. The challan has already been presented against him and the trial is not likely to conclude in near future.

3. On the other hand, learned State counsel has vehemently opposed the submissions made by learned counsel for the petitioner on the ground that two more cases were ordered to be registered against him and he does not deserve the concession of bail by this Court. He further submits that the petitioner was in touch with his co-accused on phone, which is apparent from the CDR collected by the police.

4. I have heard the learned counsel for the parties and perused the record.

5. In the present case, it is not in dispute that Akash, a similarly placed co-accused has been granted the concession of bail by the trial Court. Apart from that, the petitioner is stated to be in custody for the last about 08 months and no purpose will be served by keeping him in behind the bars.

6. Without commenting on the merits of the case, the present petition is allowed and the petitioner is ordered to be released on bail subject to his furnishing bail bonds/surety bonds to the satisfaction of the trial Court/Duty Magistrate/Chief Judicial Magistrate, concerned.

26.03.2025
vipin

(N.S. SHEKHAWAT)
JUDGE

Whether reasoned/speaking	:	Yes/No
Whether reportable	:	Yes/No