



225+229

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA  
AT CHANDIGARH

1. CRM-M-62192-2024  
Vishal Singh @ Gajji @ Vishalpreet Singh .....Petitioner

versus

State of Punjab ..... Respondent

2. CRM-M-2712-2025  
Swran Singh @ Manpreet Singh @ Manna .....Petitioner

versus

State of Punjab ..... Respondent

Date of decision : 30.01.2025

**CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE RAJESH BHARDWAJ**

Present :- Mr. Shavinderpal Singh, Advocate  
for the petitioner in CRM-M-62192-2024.

Mr. Sultan Singh Gill, Advocate,  
for the petitioner in CRM-M-2712-2025.

Mr. J.S. Arora, D.A.G., Punjab.

Mr. Lovish Rattan, Advocate for the complainant.

**RAJESH BHARDWAJ, J. (Oral)**

1. By way of this common order, I intend to dispose of abovesaid two petitions as they have arisen out of the same FIR.

2. Petitioners have approached this Court by way of present petitions praying for granting them regular bail in case FIR No.78 dated 14.07.2024, under Sections 323, 324, 326, 148 & 149 of IPC registered at Police Station Majitha, District Amritsar.

3. Succinctly the facts of the case are that the present case was registered on the statement of Rajwinder Singh @ Raja. It was alleged that on 18.06.2024 at about 6/6:30 PM, when he was going to his house then



Vishal Singh @ Gajji armed with *datar*, Swran Singh @ Manpreet Singh @ Manna, armed with *datar*, along with other accused who were duly armed attacked him. It was alleged that Vishal gave a *dater* blow on the right side of his head whereas Manpreet Singh @ Manna (Swran Singh) gave *dater* blows on his left thigh and elbow. The other co-accused also inflicted injuries upon him. He was shifted to Guru Nanak Hospital, Amritsar for treatment. Request was made to take legal action against the culprits. On registration of FIR, the investigation commenced. The petitioner, namely, Vishal Singh @ Gajji was arrested on 06.09.2024, whereas petitioner, namely, Swran Singh @ Manpreet Singh @ Manna was arrested on 06.11.2024. Petitioners approached the Learned Additional Sessions Judge, Amritsar praying for grant of bail, however, finding no merit, same were declined after hearing both the sides by Learned Additional Sessions Judge, Amritsar vide orders dated 21.11.2024 and 24.12.2024, respectively. Aggrieved by the same, the petitioners have approached this Court praying for grant of bail.

4. It has been contended by learned counsel for the petitioners that the petitioners are falsely and frivolously implicated in this case. It is submitted that the FIR was registered due to petty dispute of the parties who are the co-villagers. It is submitted that even otherwise the injuries attributed to petitioner-Swran Singh are on the left elbow and left thigh of the complainant, which were simple in nature, whereas the injury attributed to petitioner-Vishal Singh is alleged to be grievous. It is submitted that there are 03 more accused, namely, Jagroop Singh @ Jagga, Surjit Singh and Gursewak Singh @ Bai, who are already on anticipatory bail, granted by this Court. It is submitted that the petitioner-

Vishal Singh is involved in one more FIR, however, he is on bail. So far as



the petitioner-Swaran Singh is concerned, he has no criminal antecedents. They submit that challan is already presented and the co-accused are already on anticipatory bail and thus, in the facts and circumstances of the present case, petitioners deserve to be granted regular bail.

5. Learned counsel for the complainant, however, has opposed the contentions raised by learned counsel for the petitioners and submits that the complainant suffered 12 injuries and the petitioners have duly participated in the occurrence and committed the overt act as well.

6. Per contra, learned State counsel has opposed the submissions made by counsel for the petitioners. He has stated that there are specific allegations against the petitioners who have caused injuries to the complainant. He further submits that petitioner-Vishal Singh is involved in one more case under the NDPS Act, however, so far petitioner-Swaran Singh is concerned, he has no criminal antecedents. He submits that in the present case, challan under Section 173 Cr.P.C. is filed and case is now fixed for framing of the charges.

7. On hearing counsel for the parties and perusing the record, it is deciphered that the petitioners are behind bars since 06.09.2024 and 06.11.2024, respectively. The investigation in the case is already complete. The co-accused are already on anticipatory bail granted by this Court. The injuries alleged to have been giving by petitioner-Swaran Singh are simple in nature and the injury attributed to petitioner-Vishal Singh is said to be falling under Section 326 IPC, however, the same is matter of trial.

8. The veracity of the allegations would be assessed only after the conclusion of the trial and on the appreciation of evidence to be led by both the parties before the trial Court. The trial of the case will take



sufficiently long time. Thus, keeping in view the overall facts and circumstances of the case, this Court is of the opinion that learned counsel for the petitioners succeed in making out a case for grant of regular bail. Accordingly, the petitions are allowed. Petitioners are ordered to be released on bail on their furnishing bail/surety bonds to the satisfaction of the concerned Trial Court/Duty Magistrate. Nothing said herein shall be treated as an expression of opinion on the merits of the case.

9. Photocopy of this order be placed on the file of other connected cases.

( RAJESH BHARDWAJ )  
JUDGE

30.01.2025  
*ps-I*

|                           |   |        |
|---------------------------|---|--------|
| Whether speaking/reasoned | : | Yes/No |
| Whether reportable        | : | Yes/No |