



**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

223

CRM-M-62837-2024 (O&M)

Date of decision: 26.08.2025

SUNNY KUMAR ALIAS SUNNY CHANDU ALIAS DANDU

... Petitioner

Versus

STATE OF PUNJAB

.. Respondent

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE H.S. GREWAL

Present:- Mr. Chandan Singh Rana, Advocate with
Ms. Sonia Parmar, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. Rishabh Singla, AAG, Punjab.

H.S. Grewal, J. (Oral)

1. The present petition has been filed under Section 483 of Bharatiya Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita (BNSS), seeking regular bail in FIR No.24 dated 14.02.2018 under Sections 304 and 34 IPC registered at Police Station Ladhuwal, District Police Commissionerate Ludhiana.
2. The case of the prosecution is that the petitioner alongwith his co-accused had taken the son (since deceased) of the complainant and they all had consumed drugs together, however, the deceased namely Hardeep Singh @ Sunny Kuba died of overdose. It is stated that the petitioner himself was a drug addict.
3. Learned counsel for the petitioner contends that the petitioner is in custody for the period of 01 year, 09 months and 01 day. He further submits that out of total 11 cited prosecution witnesses, 07 have been examined so far. He further submits that the petitioner is not involved in any other case.
4. Learned counsel for the State by way of filing of custody certificate, vehemently opposes the grant of concession of regular bail. He does



not refute the fact that the petitioner is in custody for a period of 01 year, 09 months and 01 day and that out of 11 cited prosecution witnesses, 07 have been examined so far.

5. I have heard learned counsel for the parties and have gone through the material placed on record.

6. Keeping in view the custody period of the petitioner as an undertrial that the custody undergone by the petitioner is 01 year, 09 months and 01 day; out of 11 cited prosecution witnesses, 07 have been examined so far; also the petitioner is not involved in any other case and the conclusion of the trial is likely to take a long time, further incarceration of the petitioner would not serve the ends of justice. Therefore this Court deems it fit to grant the concession of regular bail to the petitioner.

7. Hence, without expressing any opinion on the merits of the case, the instant petition is **allowed**. The petitioner is granted the concession of regular bail, on his furnishing requisite bonds to the satisfaction of the trial Court/Duty Magistrate/ Chief Judicial Magistrate concerned.

8. It is however, made clear that in case during his bail, if the petitioner indulges in any offence, the State shall be at liberty to file an application for cancellation of bail of the petitioner.

9. Pending applications, if any, also stand disposed of.

26th August, 2025

Sonia Puri

(H.S. GREWAL)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned	:	Yes/No
Whether Reportable	:	Yes/No