



213-II

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CRM-M-59975-2025

Date of Decision: 15.12.2025

SUKHDEV SINGH AND OTHERS

..... Petitioners

Versus

STATE OF PUNJAB

..... Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE YASHVIR SINGH RATHOR

Present : Mr. Deepak Aggarwal, Advocate
for the petitioners.

Mr. Gorav Kathuria, DAG Punjab.

Ms. Supriya Garg, Advocate
for respondent No.2.

YASHVIR SINGH RATHOR, J. (Oral)

1. Prayer in this petition under Section 482 of Bhartiya Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita, 2023 is for grant of anticipatory bail in case FIR No.145, dated 27.08.2025, registered at Police Station Nathana, District Bathinda, (Annexure P-1), under Sections 329(3), 305, 324(1), 351(2), 351(3), 190 and 62 of BNS, 2023

2. In compliance of order dated 28.10.2025 passed by this Court, status report by way of an affidavit dated 07.12.2025 of Pritpal Singh, PPS, Deputy Superintendent of Police, Sub-Division Bhucho, District Bathinda filed on behalf of the respondent-State is taken on record. Registry is directed to tag the same at an appropriate place.

3. On 28.10.2025, the following order was passed:-

“1. Prayer in this petition under Section 482 of BNSS, 2023 is for grant of anticipatory bail in case FIR No.145,



dated 27.08.2025, registered at Police Station Nathana, District Bathinda, (Annexure P-1), under Sections 329(3), 305, 324(1), 351(2), 351(3), 190 and 62 of BNS, 2023.

2. *Notice of motion.*

3. *Mr. Gorav Kathuria, DAG Punjab accepts notice on behalf of the respondent-State. Both the parties have been heard and material on file has been perused.*

4. *Learned counsel for the petitioners contended that the present FIR has been lodged by the complainant with the allegations that the petitioners, along with about 50 to 100 unknown persons, tried to occupy his land. They allegedly came there with tractors and cultivators, and when the complainant intervened, they started threatening him and, while leaving, took away an iron gate and some bricks. Learned counsel further contended that the complainant has named one person who had already died long ago, while the accused mentioned at serial numbers 4 and 9, and 2 and 5, are the same persons who have been named twice. Learned counsel next contended that there is a delay of 2 months and 19 days in lodging the FIR and that a tutored and exaggerated version has been put forward in it. All the offences, except the one under Section 305 of the BNS, 2023, are bailable which all are Magisterial trial. There are no specific allegations against anyone as to who had taken away the bricks and the iron gate. Learned counsel next contended that petitioners are ready to join the investigation and to abide by the conditions that may be imposed by the Court and benefit of anticipatory bail be extended in their favour.*

5. *On the other hand, learned State counsel has opposed the bail and argued that petitioners have committed a heinous offence and they do not deserve the benefit of anticipatory bail.*

6. *Adjourned to **08.12.2025** for filing status report. Meanwhile, the petitioners are directed to join the*



investigation and in the event of thier arrest, they shall be admitted to ad-interim bail on furnishing bail bonds to the satisfaction of the Arresting/Investigating Officer, subject to the following conditions as envisaged under Section 482(2) of the BNSS [erstwhile Section 438(2) Cr.P.C.]:-

- i) that the petitioners shall make themselves available for interrogation by a police officer as and when required;*
 - ii) that the petitioners shall not, directly or indirectly, make any inducement, threat or promise to any person acquainted with the facts of the case so as to dissuade him/her from disclosing such facts to the Court or to any police officer;*
 - iii) that the petitioners shall not leave India without the prior permission of the Court;*
 - iv) such other condition as may be imposed under sub-section (3) of Section 480, as if the bail were granted under that section.*
- To be heard alongwith CRM-M-56719-2025.”*

4. Today, on instructions from ASI Jaswinder Singh learned State counsel has informed this Court that the petitioner has joined the investigation, in compliance of the order dated 28.10.2025 and is no longer required for further investigation.

5. In view of the aforesaid, the order dated 28.10.2025, whereby the petitioner was granted interim anticipatory bail, is hereby made absolute. However, he shall continue to join investigation, if and so required by the Investigating Officer.

6. Disposed of.

7. Pending misc application (s), if any, shall also stand disposed of.

(YASHVIR SINGH RATHOR)
JUDGE

15.12.2025
Ali

Whether speaking/reasoned	Yes/No
Whether Reportable	Yes/No