



IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH

212

CRM-M-59967-2025 (O & M)

Date of decision: 01.12.2025

HOENY @ HONEY

....Petitioner

Versus

STATE OF PUNJAB

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AMAN CHAUDHARY

Present : Mr. Lakshay Bector, Advocate,
for the petitioner.

Mr. Manipal Singh Atwal, DAG, Punjab.

AMAN CHAUDHARY, J. (ORAL)

1. The present petition has been filed under Section 482 BNSS for grant of anticipatory bail to the petitioner in case FIR No.18 dated 07.03.2024, registered at Police Station Daresi, District Ludhiana, under Sections 336, 427, 148, 149 and 120-B IPC (Sections 307 and 160 IPC and Sections 25 and 27 of the Arms Act, added later on).

2. On 28.10.2025, this Court had passed the following order:-

“Learned counsel submits that the name of the petitioner surfaced based on the disclosure statement of co-accused Mouvish Bains who has been granted regular bail and recovery of weapon was effected from him. Similarly circumstanced co-accused Rahul, Sahil Sharma, Nikhil and Mutaj, who were also not named in the FIR, have been granted anticipatory bail by this Court vide orders dated 31.08.2024 and 21.04.2025, Annexures P2 and P3, respectively. He alleges false implication. The allegations in the FIR are otherwise of having damaged to vehicles in a clash between two rival groups, however, no injuries were caused. He is not involved in any other case. He is ready and willing to join the investigation as and when required by the investigating agency and will cooperate.

Notice of motion.

At the asking of the Court, Ms. Gagandeep Kaur,

DAG, Punjab, accepts notice on behalf of respondent-State.

Meanwhile, the petitioner is directed to join the investigation on or before 10.11.2025. In the event of his arrest, he shall be released on interim bail to the satisfaction of the Arresting Officer, subject to compliance of conditions as enshrined under Section 438(2) Cr.P.C.

However, it is clarified that if the petitioner does not join and cooperate with the Investigating Agency as required by the Arresting/Investigating Officer, this interim order shall be deemed to have been vacated.

Adjourned to 01.12.2025.”

3. Learned counsel submits that in pursuance of the aforementioned order, the petitioner has not only joined investigation but also fully cooperated with the investigating agency. He further submits that in case the investigating agency requires the petitioner to appear, he shall make himself available without demur.

4. Learned State counsel on instructions from ASI Jaspal Singh affirms the factum of joining the investigation by the petitioner and cooperating with the investigating agency. He also submits that at this stage, the petitioner is not required for further custodial interrogation.

5. In view of the above and without expressing any opinion on the merits of the case, anticipatory bail petition filed by the petitioner is allowed and the order dated 28.10.2025 granting interim bail to him, is hereby made absolute, subject to compliance of conditions as specified under Section 438(2) Cr.P.C.

6. However, it is made clear that if the petitioner fails to join and cooperate with the investigating agency as and when required, the State would be at liberty to move an application for cancellation of the present anticipatory bail granted to him.

01.12.2025

parveen kumar

(AMAN CHAUDHARY)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes / No

Whether reportable : Yes / No