



IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

CRM-M-61921-2024 (O&M)

Date of Decision:-22.04.2025

Gurmej Singh @ Gurbhej Singh and another

.Petitioners

Versus

State of Punjab

.....Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE JASGURPREET SINGH PURI

Present:- Mr. K.B.S. Mann, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr. P.S. Bhandari, AAG, Punjab.

JASGURPREET SINGH PURI J.(Oral)

1. The present petition has been filed under Section 482 of BNSS for grant of anticipatory bail to the petitioner in case FIR No.81 dated 03.08.2024 under Sections 85, 105, 3(5) of BNS, registered at Police Station Kabarwala, District Sri Muktsar Sahib.

2. Learned counsel appearing on behalf of the petitioners submitted that as per the allegations contained in the FIR, both the petitioners who are the parents-in-law of the deceased did not provide proper medical assistance to their daughter-in-law, who was pregnant. Consequently, on the allegation of connivance with their son, the present FIR was registered against the petitioners. He further submitted that petitioner No.1 is father-in-law of the age of 77 years and petitioner No.2 is mother-in-law of the age of 64 years and because of the aforesaid reason only, they have been roped in the present case.

3. He also submitted that in pursuance of the order passed by this Court on 08.01.2025 both the petitioners have already joined the

investigation and have fully cooperated with the investigation process and therefore, the interim bail granted to the petitioners may kindly be made absolute.

4. On the other hand, learned State counsel, on instructions from ASI Manjeet Singh, submitted that in pursuance of the order passed by this Court on 08.01.2025, the petitioners have already joined the investigation and have fully cooperated with the investigation process and they are not required for further custodial interrogation.

5. I have heard learned counsels for the parties.

6. As per the allegations against the petitioners who are the parents-in-law of the deceased and are of the age of 77 years and 64 years respectively, did not provide proper medical aid to their pregnant daughter-in-law. The allegations against them are pertaining the fact that they were in connivance with their son and on that basis, the present FIR was registered against the petitioners.

7. Considering the role of the present petitioners, the age of the petitioners and the fact that as per the stand taken by learned State counsel on instructions, the petitioner are not required for custodial interrogation because they have already joined the investigation, the present petition is allowed. The interim order dated 08.01.2025 passed by this Court is hereby made absolute.

(JASGURPREET SINGH PURI)
JUDGE

22.04.2025

shweta

Whether speaking/reasoned	:	Yes/No
Whether reportable	:	Yes/No