



220

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH****CRM-M-60068-2025****Date of decision: 16.12.2025**

LAKHWINDER SINGH @ LAKHVIR SINGH

...Petitioner

VERSUS

STATE OF PUNJAB

...Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE YASHVIR SINGH RATHOR

Present: None.

YASHVIR SINGH RATHOR, J. (Oral)

1. Today, lawyers are abstaining from work.
2. Prayer in this petition under Section 482 of Bhartiya Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita, 2023 is for grant of anticipatory bail in case FIR No.110 dated 20.08.2025, registered under Sections 15, 25, 29 of NDPS Act at Police Station Tapa Mandi, District Barnala .
3. On 29.10.2025, following order was passed:-

“Prayer in this petition under Section 482 of BNSS, 2023 is for grant of anticipatory bail to the petitioner in FIR No.110 dated 20.08.2025, registered under Sections 15, 25, 29 of NDPS Act at Police Station Tapa Mandi, District Barnala. Notice of motion. Mr. G.S. Dhaliwal, AAG, Punjab, has appeared and accepted notice on behalf of the State. Both the parties have been heard and material collected by the police during investigation has been perused.

Brief facts of the prosecution case are that on 20.08.2025, police party reached at the house of one Sukhvir Singh on the basis of secret information to the effect that Lovepreet Singh, Kuldeep Kumar and Lakhvir Singh (petitioner), who is brother of Sukhvir Singh have brought poppy husk in huge quantity from other States in their vehicle and they are present at the house of Sukhvir Singh along with their vehicles and in case, a raid is conducted, huge quantity of poppy husk can be recovered. Thereafter,



police party reached at the disclosed place, where two vehicles were seen parked and two persons namely Lovepreet Singh and Kuldeep Kumar were standing near the vehicles and the vehicles were checked and 250 kg of poppy husk was recovered from both the vehicles and they were arrested.

Learned counsel for the petitioner argued that petitioner has been falsely implicated in the present case. The only allegation against him is that a secret information was received qua him that he has brought poppy husk along with Lovepreet Singh and Kuldeep Kumar from other States but when police party reached the spot, he was not present there. The house where vehicles were parked is also not owned by the petitioner. Recovery has already been effected. Learned counsel contended that petitioner is ready to join the investigation and to abide by the conditions that may be imposed by the Court and benefit of anticipatory bail be extended in his favour.

On the other hand, learned State counsel has opposed the bail and argued that on the ground of gravity of offence and the fact that huge quantity of poppy husk has been recovered, grant of anticipatory bail will hamper the investigation. Therefore, the petitioner does not deserve the concession of anticipatory bail.

*Adjourned to **16.12.2025** for filing status report.*

In view of the contentions raised by learned counsel for the petitioner, the petitioner is directed to join the investigation and in the event of his arrest, he shall be admitted to ad-interim bail on furnishing bail bonds to the satisfaction of the Arresting/Investigating Officer, subject to the following conditions as envisaged under Section 482(2) of the BNSS [erstwhile Section 438(2) Cr.P.C.]:-

- i) that the petitioner shall make himself available for interrogation by a police officer as and when required;*
- ii) that the petitioner shall not, directly or indirectly, make any inducement, threat or promise to any person acquainted with the facts of the case so as to dissuade him/her from disclosing such facts to the Court or to any police officer;*
- iii) that the petitioner shall not leave India without the prior permission of the Court;*
- iv) such other condition as may be imposed under sub-section (3) of Section 480, as if the bail were granted under that section.”*

4. Today, ASI Ranjit Singh has informed this Court that the petitioner has joined the investigation, in compliance of the order dated



29.10.2025 and is no longer required for further investigation.

5. In view of the aforesaid, the order dated 29.10.2025, whereby the petitioner was granted interim anticipatory bail, is hereby made absolute. However, he shall continue to join investigation, if and so required by the Investigating Officer.

6. Disposed of.

7. Pending misc application(s), if any, shall also stand disposed of.

16.12.2025
Priyanka Thakur

(YASHVIR SINGH RATHOR)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned :	Yes	No
Whether Reportable :	Yes	No