

2025:PHHC:176753



106

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH

CRM-M-59963-2025

Vikramjeet Singh

....Petitioner

versus

State of Haryana

....Respondent

Date of decision: December 22, 2025

Date of Uploading: December 22, 2025

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SUMEET GOEL

Present: Mr. Jaswinder Singh Rana, Advocate for
Mr. Parminder Singh, Advocate for the petitioner.

Ms. Mahima Yashpal Singla, Senior DAG Haryana.

SUMEET GOEL, J. (ORAL)

Present petition has been filed under Section 482 of the
Bhartiya Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita, 2023 (for short 'BNSS') for grant of
concession of anticipatory bail to the petitioner in case FIR No.64 dated
19.09.2020, under Sections 18, 27-A, 28, 29, 30, 61-85 of the NDPS Act,
1985, registered at Police Station City Pehowa, District Kurukshetra.

2. On 28.10.2025, the following order was passed:

"The petitioner seeks grant of anticipatory bail under Section 482 of BNSS, 2023 in case bearing FIR No.64 dated 19.09.2020 registered under Sections 18-27A-28-29-30-61-85 of NDPS Act at Police Station City Pehowa, District Kurukshetra.

The counsel for the petitioner contends that the petitioner has been named in the disclosure statement of his co-accused. Reliance is placed on the order in 'Vijay Singh versus The State of Haryana, bearing Special Leave to Appeal (Crl.) No(s).1266/2023' decided on 17.05.2023', which is reproduced as under:-

"The petitioner is alleged to have committed offences under Sections 15 and 29 of the Narcotic Drugs and Psychotropic Substances Act, 1985 (hereinafter called the NDPS Act". His application for anticipatory bail was rejected by the High Court.

The allegations in the FIR are that 1.7 Kg of Poppy Straw (Doda Post) was recovered from the co-accused. The petitioner concededly was not present at the spot but was named by the co-accused. That apart there is no other material to implicate the petitioner. The prosecution urges that another case with allegations of commission of offence under the NDPS Act are pending against the petitioner. It is not denied that in those proceedings he was granted bail.

Having regard to these circumstances, the petitioner is directed to the enlarged on anticipatory bail, subject to such terms and conditions as the trial Court may impose.

The petition is allowed.

All pending applications are disposed of."

Notice of motion for 18.12.2025.

Mr. Viney Phogat, DAG, Haryana accepts notice on behalf of the respondent-State.

In the meantime, the petitioner is directed to appear before the SHO/Investigating Officer to join investigation and in the event of his arrest, he shall be released on bail on his furnishing bail bonds to the satisfaction of SHO/Investigating Agency subject to the following conditions as envisaged under Section 482(2) BNSS:-

- i) that the petitioner shall make himself available for interrogation before the Investigating Officer as and when required;*
- ii) that the petitioner shall not, directly or indirectly, make any inducement, threat or promise to any person acquainted with the facts of the case so as to dissuade him/her from disclosing such facts to the Court or to any police officer;*
- iii) that the petitioner shall not leave the country, without prior permission of the Court and shall surrender his/her/their passport, if any.*

Meanwhile, the State counsel is directed to file an affidavit as to the exact role of the petitioner along with the details of pending FIRs, if any, on or before the next date of hearing."

3. Learned State counsel (on instructions from ASI Pawan) has stated that pursuant to the order dated 28.10.2025, the petitioner has indeed joined investigation and his custodial interrogation is not required.

4. Having heard learned counsel for the parties and upon perusal of the record; especially in view of the factum of the petitioner having joined investigation and his custodial interrogation is not being sought by the State; the interim order dated 28.10.2025 passed by this Court is made absolute, subject to the conditions as enumerated under Section 482(2) of BNSS, 2023.

5. Petition stands allowed, accordingly.

6. This order should not be treated as “blanket” order. It will not be read granting petitioner indefinite protection from arrest. It shall be confined to the FIR mentioned *ibid* and will not operate in respect of any other incident that involves commission of an offence.
7. Liberty is reserved in favour of State/complainant to move for cancellation/recall of this order in case the petitioner violates any condition stipulated under Section 482(2) of BNSS, 2023 or upon showing any other sufficient cause.
8. Needless to say that anything observed herein above shall not be construed to be an opinion on the merits of the case.
9. Pending application(s), if any, shall also stand disposed of.

(SUMEET GOEL)
JUDGE

December 22, 2025

mahavir

Whether speaking/reasoned: Yes/No

Whether reportable: Yes/No