



**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

111

CWP-33142-2024

Date of Decision: 13.02.2025

Joginder

.....Petitioner(s)

Versus

State of Haryana and others

.....Respondent(s)

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE JAGMOHAN BANSAL

Present: Mr. Aditya Yadav, Advocate,
and Mr. Sanjeev Kumar Aarya, Advocate,
for the petitioner.

Mr. Raman Sharma, Addl. A.G., Haryana.

JAGMOHAN BANSAL, J. (Oral)

1. The petitioner through instant petition filed under Articles 226 and 227 of the Constitution of India is seeking direction to respondent to consider him for promotion.

2. The petitioner claims that vide order dated 23.05.2022, he was subjected to minor punishment of stoppage of two increments with temporary effect. As the order of punishment was of minor punishment, he could not be denied promotion. A similarly situated employee has already been extended benefit of promotion. The grievance of petitioner would be redressed if respondents are directed to decide his demand notice dated 07.08.2024 (Annexure P-7) particularly in the light of orders passed in the case of co-accused namely H.C. Jalbir No.887/JJR.

3. Mr. Raman Sharma, Addl. A.G., Haryana, who on advance notice is present in Court, assures the Court that competent authority would consider

claim of petitioner in the light of orders passed in the case of co-accused namely
Shivani Gupta
2025.02.14 12:57



H.C. Jalbir No.887/JJR and do the needful within a period of 3 months from today.

- 4. Mr. Yadav agrees to the aforesaid arrangement.
- 5. In the wake of statement of both sides, instant petition stands disposed of.

13.02.2025
shivani

(JAGMOHAN BANSAL)
JUDGE

Whether reasoned/speaking	Yes
Whether reportable	No