

2025:PHHC:086266



**IN THE PUNJAB AND HARYANA HIGH COURT AT
CHANDIGARH**

110

CWP-166-2025

Date of Decision: 15.07.2025

MUKESH KUMAR

... Petitioner

VERSUS

UNION OF INDIA AND OTHERS

... Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE VINOD S. BHARDWAJ.

Present: None for the petitioner.

VINOD S. BHARDWAJ, J. (ORAL)

Challenge in the present petition is to the order dated 27.02.2023 (Annexure P-1) passed by respondent No.3 whereby the petitioner has been dismissed from service.

Perusal of record shows that for the last four occasions, the matter is being adjourned either on account of absence of counsel for the petitioner or on account of request(s) made by learned counsel for the petitioner. Today also, at the time of first call, a request for adjournment was made, however, when it was apprised that the matter has already been adjourned for the four times and thus it cannot be adjourned, however, on account of absence of learned arguing counsel, the matter was passed over for hearing arguments. But even on the second call, there is no representation on behalf of the petitioner. It appears that the petitioner is not serious about pursuing his case.

Dismissed for non-prosecution.

**(VINOD S. BHARDWAJ)
JUDGE**

JULY 15, 2025.

Rajender

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No

Whether reportable : Yes/No