



**IN THE HIGH COURT FOR THE STATES OF PUNJAB AND
HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH**

238

CRM-M-63243-2024
Date of decision: 07.08.2025

AMRITPAL SINGH PARMAR**...Petitioner**

Versus

STATE OF PUNJAB AND ANOTHER**...Respondents****CORAM: HON'BLE MS. JUSTICE SHALINI SINGH NAGPAL**

Present: Mr. Sandeep Arora, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. Hardeep Singh Wadhwa, DAG Punjab,
for respondent No.1.

Mr. G. S. Rawat, Advocate
for respondent No.2

SHALINI SINGH NAGPAL (Oral)

In the petition under Section 528, Bharatiya Nagrik Suraksha Sanhita (B.N.S.S.) 2023, the prayer is for quashing FIR No.4 dated 17.05.2021 (Annexure P-1) under Sections 406 and 498-A IPC, Police Station NRI, District Jalandhar Rural, Punjab on the basis of compromise deed dated 26.09.2024 (Annexure P-2) effected between the parties.

On 17.12.2024, this Court directed the parties, who are residing abroad, to appear before the trial Court/Illaq Magistrate through video conference for recording of their statements with regard to compromise dated 26.09.2024 (Annexure P-2) on 09.01.2025 or any other date convenient.

In compliance of the aforesaid order parties appeared before learned Judicial Magistrate Ist Class, Jalandhar on 09.01.2025, through Video Conferencing. Statement of the Investigating Officer, ASI Surinder Singh was also recorded on 05.02.2025. Learned Judicial Magistrate Ist Class, Jalandhar has submitted his report regarding satisfaction that a compromise had been



effected between the parties without coercion or undue influence and the said compromise was a result of free will of the parties. Point-wise report as under has been submitted:

1. As per statement of Investigating Officer, there is only one accused in the present FIR namely, Amritpal Singh, who is petitioner before this Court.
2. As per the statement of the Investigating Officer, the accused was not declared proclaimed offender.
3. In view of the statements got recorded by the complainant and accused person, the Court of JMIC, Jalandhar is satisfied that the compromise effected between them is valid and voluntary, which is not the result of any pressure or coercion.
4. As per report of Investigating Officer accused in the present case is not involved in any other case.
5. As per the statement of the Investigating Officer, there was only one complainant/ victim in the present case, namely, Parampreet Kaur, who was party to the compromise. The only accused is also party to the compromise.

Learned DAG for the State of Punjab and counsel for respondent No.2 have not raised any dispute regarding the factum of compromise. Since the matter has been amicably resolved, continuation of the criminal proceedings would be an exercise in futility. The dispute which has its genesis in a matrimonial discord, as per settled principles of law, warrants exercise of powers under Section 482 Cr.P.C.

Following principles of law laid down by the Full Bench Judgment of this Court in ***“Kulwinder Singh and others Vs. State of Punjab”*** 2007(3) RCR 1052 and Hon’ble Supreme Court in ***“Gian Singh Versus State***



CRM-M-63243-2024

-3-

of Punjab and others” (2012) 10 SCC 303, the petition is allowed. FIR No.4 dated 17.05.2021 (Annexure P-1) under Sections 406 and 498-A IPC 1860, PS NRI, District Jalandhar Rural, along with all the subsequent proceedings arising therefrom, is quashed qua the petitioner.

(SHALINI SINGH NAGPAL)
JUDGE

07.08.2025
harpreet

<i>Whether speaking/reasoned:</i>	<i>Yes/No</i>
<i>Whether reportable:</i>	<i>Yes/No</i>