



CRM-M-59969-2025 (O&M)

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

208

CRM-M-59969-2025 (O&M)
Date of decision: 11.11.2025

SATGUR SINGH ALIAS LADDI

...PETITIONER

VERSUS

STATE OF PUNJAB AND ANOTHER

...RESPONDENTS

CORAM: HON'BLE MS. JUSTICE SHALINI SINGH NAGPAL

Present: Mr. J.K. Singla, Advocate and
Ms. Shivani Singla, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. Sukhbeer Singh, DAG Punjab.

Ms. Suman Rani, Advocate for
Ms. Kashish Garg, Advocate
for the respondents.

Shalini Singh Nagpal, J.

1. Petitioner seeks regular bail in FIR No.230 dated 08.08.2025 under Sections 137(2) and 96 of Bharatiya Nyaya Sanhita (B.N.S.), 2023, Police Station Sadar, Mansa, District Mansa. This is the first petition for regular bail.
2. Complainant alleged that Hardeep Singh was his son from his first marriage with Jasvir Kaur, resident of Harayao Daska, who expired in the year 2002. After her death, he solemnized second marriage with Jasvir Kaur daughter of Narsi Singh, resident of Mansa. From the second marriage, he had six daughters. Hardeep Singh was married with Rajwinder Kaur and they were all residing together, though, Hardeep Singh and his wife had separate kitchen. Satgur Singh alias Laddi, son of Gurmail Singh, brother-in-law of his son had taken away his daughter 'K' aged 14 years on 07.08.2025



CRM-M-59969-2025 (O&M)

at 02:00 P.M. on the pretext of marriage. He suspected that there was one other boy with Satgur Singh alias Laddi.

3. It has been argued that petitioner was in custody for the last three months and there was no other case against him. He was a 20 year old boy. The matter had since been compromised with the complainant and petition for quashing the FIR was also filed in which statements of parties were recorded by learned Judicial Magistrate Ist Class, Mansa. It is prayed that the petitioner be enlarged on bail.

4. Status report by way of affidavit of Mr. Butta Singh, PPS, Deputy Superintendent of Police, Sub-Division Mansa, District Mansa, on behalf of respondent No.1-State has been filed. Prayer for regular bail has been opposed by learned State counsel.

5. Petition is a youthful offender. His antecedents are clean. He is not involved in any other case. The matter appears to have been compromised with the complainant, who has made a statement before learned Judicial Magistrate Ist Class, Mansa regarding the said compromise. No useful purpose would be served by detaining the petitioner in custody further. Considering all relevant facts and circumstances of the case but without expressing any opinion on merits, the petition is allowed. Petitioner is ordered to be released on regular bail, subject to his furnishing adequate bail and surety bonds to the satisfaction of trial Court/Duty Magistrate.

6. Pending applications, if any, stand disposed of.

(SHALINI SINGH NAGPAL)
JUDGE

11.11.2025
harpreet

Whether Speaking/Reasoned :	Yes/No
Whether Reportable :	Yes/No