



IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

201

CRM-M-61930-2024

Date of decision: 06.05.2025

Raj Kumar Yadav

.....Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana

.....Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MRS. JUSTICE MANJARI NEHRU KAUL

Present : Mr. Ashish Verma, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. Karan Sharma, DAG, Haryana.

MANJARI NEHRU KAUL, J. (ORAL)

1. The petitioner is seeking the concession of anticipatory bail under Section 482 of the Bharatiya Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita, 2023 (for short, 'BNSS') in case FIR No.0369 dated 11.11.2024 under Sections 318(4), 336(3), 338, 340 of the Bharatiya Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita, 2023 (for short, 'BNSS'), registered at Police Station Ballabgarh Sadar.

2. On 10.12.2024, while noticing the following submissions made by the learned counsel for the petitioner, a Coordinate Bench of this Court had stayed the arrest of the petitioner, subject to his joining investigation:-

“Learned counsel for petitioner argued that petitioner is named by co-accused Feroz. He has nothing to do with said fake coupons alleged by complainant. Raid was conducted on his printing press but no recovery was effected. He is ready to join investigation.”



CRM-M-61930-2024

3. Thereafter, on 11.03.2025, this Court had passed the following order:-

“Learned State counsel, on instructions, has submitted that although the petitioner did join investigation in compliance of order dated 10.12.2024, however, the petitioner, during his investigation informed the IO that inadvertently he had forgotten to get the relevant mobile handset.

Learned counsel for the petitioner prays for one more opportunity for the petitioner to hand over the mobile handset to the police.

List on 06.05.2025.

Interim order to continue till the next date of hearing only.”

4. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that in compliance of order dated 10.12.2024, the petitioner has joined investigation and cooperated with the investigating agency.

5. Learned State counsel, on instructions, does not dispute the factum of the petitioner having joined investigation and cooperated with the investigating agency. He, on further instructions, submits that the petitioner is not required for further investigation much less for his custodial interrogation.

6. In view of the above, the petition is allowed and interim order dated 10.12.2024, is made absolute subject to the conditions laid down in Section 482(2) of the BNSS.

06.05.2025

Vinay

(MANJARI NEHRU KAUL)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No
Whether reportable : Yes/No