



IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

364

CRM-M-62138-2024 (O&M)
Date of Decision:- 22.01.2025

SUKHWINDER KAUR AND OTHERS

....Petitioner(s)

Versus

STATE OF PUNJAB AND ANOTHER

...Respondent(s)

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SANJIV BERRY

Present : Mr. Balraj Singh, Advocate for
Mr. Gursimran Singh Bhatia, Advocate for the petitioners.

Mr. Jatinder Pal Singh, Sr. DAG Punjab.

Ms. Anmol, Advocate for respondent No.2.

SANJIV BERRY, J. (ORAL)

By way of present petition filed under Section 528 of Bharatiya
Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita, 2023, petitioners seek quashing of FIR
(Annexure P-1) and all consequential proceedings arising therefrom on the
basis of compromise/settlement dated 01.08.2023 (Annexure P-2) executed
between the parties. Details of the FIR are as follows:

FIR No.	Dated	Sections	Police Station
8	20.01.2021	420, 120-B IPC; 24 of the Immigration Act,	Model Town Ludhiana

2. With the intervention of respectables and elderly people of the
society, the parties have arrived at a settlement vide compromise deed dated
01.08.2023 (Annexure P-2), which is duly signed by them. The matter was
referred to the Court below for recording of statements of the parties and to
report with respect to genuineness of the compromise arrived at between the



parties. The leaned Judicial Magistrate First Class, Ludhiana vide report dated 03.01.2025 has apprised this Court that the compromise arrived at between the parties is genuine and without any pressure. The petitioners have also deposited the cost of Rs.25,000/- in compliance to the order dated 12.12.2024.

3. Respondent No.2 is represented by her counsel and does not oppose the compromise.

4. In view of the aforesaid report of the leaned Judicial Magistrate First Class, Ludhiana and in view of the decision of the Hon'ble Supreme Court in “Gian Singh Vs. State of Punjab and another”, 2012(4) RCR (Criminal) 543 and “Narinder Singh and Others Vs. State of Punjab and Another”, (2014) 6 SCC 466, this Court is of the opinion that no useful purpose can be served by keeping the criminal proceedings pending, since the complainant has himself compromised the dispute with the petitioners/accused persons.

5. In the circumstances, the present petition is allowed. FIR No.8 dated 20.01.2021 under Sections 420, 120-B IPC; 24 of the Immigration Act, of IPC registered at Police Station Model Town Ludhiana (Annexure P-1) and all consequential proceedings arising therefrom, are hereby quashed on the basis of compromise qua the present petitioners.

(SANJIV BERRY)
JUDGE

22.01.2025

S.Sharma(syr)

i)	Whether speaking/reasoned?	Yes/No
ii)	Whether reportable?	Yes/No