



IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

107

TA-1588-2024(O&M)
Date of Decision: October 31, 2025

Mannu @ Mehak
...Applicant

Versus

Harsh Kumar
...Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MRS. JUSTICE ARCHANA PURI

Present: Mr.Vishal Tartyal, Advocate
for the applicant.

Mr.Arun Sharma, Advocate
for the respondent (through video conferencing).

ARCHANA PURI, J.

Applicant-wife has filed the present application for seeking transfer of the petition under Section 9 of the Hindu Marriage Act, filed by respondent-husband, bearing No.HMA-89-2024, titled ‘Harsh Kumar vs. Mannu @ Mehak’, pending in the Family Court, Jalandhar and she seeks transfer of the same to the Court of competent jurisdiction at Pathankot.

In pursuance of the notice issued, the respondent made appearance through counsel and filed the reply.

Counsel for the parties heard.

At the very outset, it is submitted by learned counsel for the applicant that the marriage between the parties to the lis had taken place on

21.01.2024. However, due to matrimonial dispute, the parties are residing separate. Also, it is submitted that the applicant is unskilled and not having any source of earning. She has filed petition under Section 144 of BNSS, which is pending in the Courts at Pathankot and the respondent is making appearance in the same. On query by the Court, it is submitted by learned counsel for the applicant that there is no child born from the said wedlock. The distance between the two places is stated to be 125 kms.

On the other hand, learned counsel for the respondent resisted the claim for transfer. In fact, it is submitted that the applicant is a working lady. She is running AG Productions and doing Podcast, Video Shoots etc., but she has concealed the aforesaid fact. Even though, the respondent had asserted about the applicant to be running AG Productions and doing podcast and also asserted that he has proof relating to the same, but however, on the last date of hearing, adjournment was granted solely on this account to mention about this fact, but however, no material, as such, has been brought on record. Learned counsel for the respondent has expressed his inability to produce any proof, which is contrary to the assertions made by him.

Thus, for all intents and purposes, the applicant, as asserted, is not having any source of earning. The respondent is already pursuing the maintenance petition, which has been filed, at the instance of the applicant, which is pending in the Courts at Pathankot.

Considering the same and also the extent of distance between the two places, the transfer application, as such, is hereby allowed and the petition under Section 9 of the Hindu Marriage Act, filed by respondent-

husband, bearing No.HMA-89-2024, titled ‘Harsh Kumar vs. Mannu @ Mehak’, stands transferred from the Family Court, Jalandhar, to the Court of competent jurisdiction at Pathankot. The requisite record of the aforesaid case be sent by the Family Court, Jalandhar to the District and Sessions Judge, Pathankot.

Learned District and Sessions Judge, Pathankot shall assign the said petition to the Family Court, Pathankot. Even, the parties are directed to appear before the Family Court, Pathankot, within a period of one month from today onwards.

October 31, 2025	(ARCHANA PURI)
Vgulati	JUDGE
Whether speaking/reasoned	Yes
Whether reportable	Yes/No