

CRM-M-61867-2024 (O & M) 2025:PHHC:090537



::1::

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH
(298)

CRM-M-61867-2024 (O & M)
Date of decision: 22.07.2025

Satywan alias Satta

..... Petitioner(s)

V/s

State of Haryana and anr.

...Respondent(s)

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE JASJIT SINGH BEDI

Present: Mr. Neeraj Jain, Advocate,
for the petitioner.

Mr. Shiva Khurmi, DAG, Haryana.

JASJIT SINGH BEDI, J. (Oral)

On 11.12.2024, the following order was passed :-

The petitioner has approached this Court seeking quashing of FIR (Annexure P-1) and all consequential proceedings emanating therefrom on the basis of a compromise having been effected between the parties.

Notice of motion for 22.07.2025.

At this stage, Mr. G.S.Aulakh, Advocate, has put in appearance on behalf of respondent No.2 and has filed power of attorney, which is taken on record.

The parties are directed to move an application before the trial Court/Illaq Magistrate concerned for getting their statements recorded qua the factum of compromise. As and when any such application is moved and put up before the trial Court/Illaq Magistrate, the trial Court/Illaq Magistrate shall consider the said application and do the needful for recording the statements of the parties qua the factum of the compromise,



::2::

on any convenient date. After recording the statements of all the affected parties, and upon getting requisite information from Investigating Officer, the trial Court/Illaqa Magistrate shall submit its report on the basis of the statements so recorded, broadly on the following aspects:

- (i) Whether there is any other accused other than the petitioner, arrayed in this petition.*
- (ii) Whether there is any other complainant or affected/aggrieved party other than the respondents, arrayed in the petition.*
- (iii) Whether any accused has been declared Proclaimed Offender?*
- (iv) Whether the compromise in question is found to be a valid compromise and has been effected without there being any kind of influence or coercion?*

The report be submitted before this Court on or before the next date.

Despite passing of the aforementioned order, the complainant did not appear before the concerned Court to get recorded his statement qua the compromise.

In view of the above, I find no merit in the present petition. Therefore, the same stands dismissed.

July 22, 2025
sukhpreet

(JASJIT SINGH BEDI)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No
Whether reportable : Yes/No