



CRM-M-61896-2024

-1-

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA  
AT CHANDIGARH**

281

CRM-M-61896-2024

Date of decision: 9<sup>th</sup> January, 2025

Harjeet Singh Dhariwal

...Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana and others

...Respondents

**CORAM: HON'BLE MRS. JUSTICE MANISHA BATRA**

Present: Mr. Munish Thakur, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr. Neeraj Poswal, AAG, Haryana.

Mr. L.S. Bhullar, Advocate for respondents No. 2 to 6.

\*\*\*

**MANISHA BATRA, J (ORAL):-**

The present petition has been filed by the petitioner for quashing of FIR No. 383 dated 06.07.2015 under Sections 406, 420 and 120-B of IPC, 1860 registered at Police Station Faridabad Central, District Faridabad and all subsequent proceedings arising therefrom on the basis of compromise dated 25.11.2024 (Annexure P-2).

2. This Court vide order dated 10.10.2024 had directed the parties to appear before the trial Court to get their statements recorded and the learned Magistrate was directed to send its report qua the genuineness of the compromise.

3. Pursuant to the aforesaid order, parties have appeared before learned Judicial Magistrate First Class, Faridabad. Report has been received from the Judicial Magistrate First Class, Faridabad, as per which the



statement of the parties as well as Investigating Officer had been recorded in compliance of the order passed by this Court on 10.12.2024. The statements in original have been tagged with the report. On a perusal of the statement of Investigating Officer, it is revealed that one Jaivir was also nominated as an accused who is not a party to the present petition. Learned State counsel on the basis of the written instructions received by him, however, submitted that the above named Jaivir had been found to be innocent and not been arrested and challaned and it is only the petitioner who has been challaned.

4. Learned State counsel as well as counsel for respondents No. 2 to 6 have not disputed the factum of compromise between the parties.

5. In view of the above, no useful purpose would be served to continue with the proceedings before the trial Court in the instant F.I.R. Following the principles laid down by the Full Bench judgment of this Court in *Kulwinder Singh and others Versus State of Punjab and another 2007 (3) RCR (Criminal) 1052* and approved by the Hon'ble Supreme Court in *Gian Singh Versus State of Punjab and others (2012) 10 SCC 303*, this petition is allowed and 383 dated 06.07.2015 under Sections 406, 420 and 120-B of IPC, 1860 registered at Police Station Faridabad Central, District Faridabad and all subsequent proceedings arising therefrom on the basis of compromise dated 25.11.2024 (Annexure P-2) are quashed qua the petitioner.

**[MANISHA BATRA]**  
**JUDGE**

**9<sup>th</sup> January, 2025**

*Parveen Sharma*

1. Whether speaking/ reasoned
2. Whether reportable

: Yes / No  
: Yes / No