



**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA  
AT CHANDIGARH**

272

**CRM-M-62364-2024 (O&M)**  
**Date of Decision: 07.02.2025**

**SATWANTI DEVI @ SATWANTI HOODA AND ANOTHER**

....Petitioners

**VERSUS**

**STATE OF HARYANA AND ANOTHER**

....Respondents

**CORAM : HON'BLE MRS. JUSTICE MANISHA BATRA**

Present : Mr. Sahil Matharoo, Advocate for  
Mr. Ishan Gupta, Advocate for the petitioners

Mr. Rajiv Sidhu, DAG, Haryana and  
Mr. Anil Kumar Chahal, DAG, Haryana.

Ms. Apurva Walia, Advocate for  
Ms. Palvi Sharma, Advocate respondent no.2.

**MANISHA BATRA, J. (Oral)**

The present petition has been filed under Section 528 of Bharatiya Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita, 2023 for quashing of FIR No.54 dated 04.02.2016 under Sections 120-B, 419, 420, 465, 467, 468, 471 of IPC registered at Police Station Rohtak City District Rohtak and all the subsequent proceedings arising therefrom, on the basis of compromise dated 11.09.2023 (Annexure - P-2).

2 . This Court vide order dated 13.12.2024 had directed the parties to appear before the trial Court to get their statements recorded and the learned Magistrate was directed to send its report qua the genuineness of the compromise.



3. Pursuant to the aforesaid order, parties have appeared before the learned Judicial Magistrate First Class, Rohtak, and got their statements recorded. On the basis of the statements so recorded, learned Magistrate has submitted copy of report dated 23.01.2025 to the effect that the compromise has been effected between the parties voluntarily and without any coercion or undue influence.

4. Learned State counsel as well as counsel for respondent No.2 have not disputed the factum of compromise between the parties.

5. In view of the above, no useful purpose would be served to continue with the proceedings before the trial Court in the instant FIR.

6. Following the principles laid down by the Full Bench judgment of this Court in *Kulwinder Singh and others Versus State of Punjab and another 2007 (3) RCR (Criminal) 1052* and approved by the Hon'ble Supreme Court in *Gian Singh Versus State of Punjab and others (2012) 10 SCC 303*, this petition is allowed and FIR No.54 dated 04.02.2016 under Sections 120-B, 419, 420, 465, 467, 468, 471 of IPC registered at Police Station Rohtak City District Rohtak and all subsequent proceedings arising therefrom on the basis of compromise dated 11.09.2023 (Annexure P-2) is/are quashed qua the petitioners.

07.02.2025

Deepak Patwal

( MANISHA BATRA )

JUDGE

1. Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No  
2. Whether reportable : Yes/No