



**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

122

CRWP-11676-2025

Date of decision : 17.11.2025

BHARAT VERMA

..... PETITIONER

VERSUS

STATE OF PUNJAB AND OTHERS

..... RESPONDENTS

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SURYA PARTAP SINGH

Present : Mr. Bhim Singh, Advocate for
Mr. Raj Kumar Chandana, Advocate
for the petitioner.

SURYA PARTAP SINGH. J.(Oral)

1. The instant petition has been filed by the petitioner for directions to the respondents No.2 and 3 to protect the life and liberty of the petitioner and his family members.

2. The learned counsel representing the petitioner submits that the petitioner has filed representation dated 22.09.2025 (Annexures P-26) before the respondents No.2 to 4. The learned counsel prays for directions to the respondent No.3 to decide the abovementioned representation within a stipulated time.

3. Notice of motion.

4. Since advance notice has already been served upon the State, Mr. Eklavya Darshi, Deputy Advocate General, Punjab, accepts notice on behalf of respondents/State, and waives service.

5. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that a direction for deciding the representation of the petitioner (Annexure P-26) be given to the respondent No.3 and that the instant petition be disposed of accordingly.

6. Keeping in view the aforesaid facts, the present petition is hereby disposed of with a direction to the respondent No.3-The Senior Superintendent of Police (Jalandhar Rural) to pass a speaking order and decide the representation of the petitioner (Annexure P-26) within a period of 15 days from the date of receipt of copy of instant order. However, it is made clear that there is no observation of this Court with regard to merits of the case and the police authorities shall be at liberty to draw their own conclusion without being influenced by the contents of instant order.

(SURYA PARTAP SINGH)
JUDGE

17.11.2025

vipin

Whether speaking/reasoned	:	Yes
Whether Reportable	:	No