



CRM-M-59454-2025

214/2

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA  
AT CHANDIGARH**

CRM-M-59454-2025

Decided on : 26.11.2025

Vishal

.....Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab

.....Respondent

**CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SANJAY VASHISTH**

Present: Mr. GPS Pathania, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr. Bareen Pratap Singh, AAG Punjab

\*\*\*\*

**SANJAY VASHISTH, J. (ORAL)**

1. Petitioner-Vishal has filed instant petition under Section 483 of BNSS, 2023 (earlier Section 439 Cr.P.C.), seeking regular bail in case FIR No. 11 dated 31.01.2025 registered under Sections 109, 118(1), 115(2), 191(3), 190 of BNS, 2023 at Police Station Division No. 1, District Pathankot.

2. As per allegations, FIR was got registered at the instance of complainant–Abhinav, who got recorded his version that while learning work of AC Repairing at the shop of Vishal @ Lovey, on 29.01.2025 at about 2.30 p.m. accused-Saksham @ Goti armed with Musla Datar, Ladi and Vishal @ Lovey resident of Lamini armed with Musla Datar alongwith 3-4 unknown young men holding dang and *sote* came by riding on scootery and motorcyle and thereafter caused injuries. Saksham @ Goti gave datar blow on the head of the complainant Abhinav. Ladi gave datar blow at the right hand of complainant which caused injury on the middle finger of right hand. Petitioner – Vishal resident of Lamini gave datar blow to complainant, which caused injury on small finger of right hand.

**CRM-M-59454-2025**

3. Learned counsel for the petitioner argues that the injury attributed to the petitioner is on a non-vital part of the body and it was opined as simple in nature. The petitioner is already inside jail since 26.08.2025 i.e. for the last about three months and incarceration for any longer period would not serve any meaningful purpose and, thus, prays for grant of regular bail.

4. On the other hand, learned State counsel confirms the total incarceration period inside jail and informs that datar has been recovered from the possession of the petitioner and after investigation challan has also been submitted.

5. That being the position and coupled with the fact that all the offences are triable by the Court of Magistrate, this Court finds that no purpose would be served by detaining the petitioner inside the jail for an indefinite period.

Consequently, prayer made in the present petition is allowed. Petitioner is ordered to be released on bail, subject to his furnishing bail/surety bonds to the satisfaction of the learned trial Court/ Chief Judicial Magistrate/ Illaqa Magistrate/ Duty Magistrate concerned, if not required in any other case.

6. Needless to observe that the petitioner shall not extend any threat and shall not influence any prosecution witness in any manner directly or indirectly.

7. Any of the discussion done and recorded here above, shall not be construed as an expression of opinion on the facts of the case. Therefore, trial Court is expected to decide the case by taking an independent view, on the basis of evidence available on record, as expeditiously as possible, in accordance with law.

8. Petition stands disposed of.

**(SANJAY VASHISTH)**  
**JUDGE**

**November 26, 2025**

reena

Whether Speaking/Reasoned: YES/NO

Whether Reportable: YES/NO