

S. No.222

2025:PHHC:151778



IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

CRM-M-59874 of 2025

Date of Decision:04.11.2025

Gaurav Sood and another

.....Petitioners

Vs.

State of Punjab

.....Respondent

CORAM:- HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE YASHVIR SINGH RATHOR

Present:- Mr. Harpreet Singh, Advocate with
Ms. Kamaldeep Kaur and Ms. Kuljeet Kaur Sandhu,
Advocate for the petitioners.

Mr. Gorav Kathuria, DAG, Punjab.

Yashvir Singh Rathor, J. (Oral)

1. Prayer in this petition under Section 482 of Bhartiya Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita, 2023 is for grant of anticipatory bail in case FIR No.51 dated 03.03.2025 registered under Sections 115(2), 126(2), 351(2), 303(2), 190, 191, 191(3), 3(5) of BNS, 2023 (Section 117(2) and 117(3) of BNS, 2023 added later on) at Police Station Sohana, District SAS Nagar, Mohali.

2. On 24.10.2025, the following order was passed by a co-ordinate Bench of this Court:-

“Learned counsel appearing on behalf of the petitioners inter alia contends that the true genesis of the occurrence has been concealed and that it is a case of version and cross-version. A DDR No.42 dated 18.07.2025 was registered under Section 333, 127(2), 190, 324(4) of Bharatiya Nyaya Sanhita, 2023 against the complainant. She further contends that vide DDR No.29 dated 28.05.2025, offences under Section 117(2) and 117(3) of Bharatiya



Nyaya Sanhita, 2023 were added since injury No.1 was declared as a grievous injury which caused permanent impairment to the left ear of the complainant. She further contends that the petitioner having already been granted concession of anticipatory bail earlier, addition of the offences and injuries of the same nature would not make out a fresh case for custodial interrogation. She further contends that the petitioners are ready and willing to join the investigation as and when called for and to extend all cooperation to the Investigating Agency. Notice of motion.

Mr. Mohit Kapoor, Sr.DAG, Punjab enters appearance and accepts notice on behalf of respondent-State.

Mr. Aniket Sindhar, Advocate enters appearance on behalf of the complainant and files his Power of Attorney. The same is taken on record.

Registry is directed to tag the same at an appropriate place. Learned State Counsel does not dispute the registration of the cross case or the facts noticed as above, however, learned counsel for the complainant contends that the petitioners have been threatening the complainant and in fact he had to approach this Court for seeking protection. The said petition has been disposed of with a direction to the authorities to examine the grievances caused by the petitioners and to pass an appropriate order thereupon.

Adjourned to 04.11.2025.

Reply, if any, be filed on or before the adjourned date with copy in advance to the learned counsel opposite.

In the meanwhile, the petitioners are directed to join investigation as and when so required by the Investigating Agency. In the event of the petitioners joining the investigation, they shall be admitted to interim bail by the arresting officer/investigating officer on their furnishing of bail/surety bonds to the satisfaction of the arresting officer/investigating officer. The petitioners shall also abide by the



conditions as specified under Section 482 of the Bharatiya Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita (BNSS) of 2023.”

- 2. Today, learned State counsel has informed this Court that the petitioners have joined the investigation, in compliance of the order dated 24.10.2025 and are no longer required for further investigation.
- 3. In view of the aforesaid, the order dated 24.10.2025, whereby the petitioners were granted interim anticipatory bail, is hereby made absolute. However, they shall continue to join investigation, if and so required by the Investigating Officer.
- 4. Disposed of.
- 5. Pending misc application (s), if any, shall also stand disposed of.

(Yashvir Singh Rathor)
Judge

November 04, 2025
renu

Whether Speaking/reasoned	Yes/No
Whether Reportable	Yes/No