

**CRM-M-60272-2025 (O&M) 1**

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH

210

CRM-M-60272-2025 (O&M)
Date of Decision:08.12.2025

Arshdeep Kaur

....Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab

.....Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AMAN CHAUDHARY

Present: Mr. L. M. Gulati, Advocate,
for the petitioner.

Mr. Manipal Singh Atwal, DAG, Punjab.

Mr. Bharat Kumar, Advocate, for the complainant.

AMAN CHAUDHARY, J. (Oral)

1. On 30.10.2025, this Court had passed the following order:-

“Learned counsel inter alia contends that the petitioner, who is 19 years old, class 12th student, is sought to be falsely implicated in the case registered at the behest of the brother of the deceased on the premise that she has refused to marry the deceased, 28 years old and he committed suicide. Admittedly, there is no suicide note. There is no allegation which would have made her case fall within the definition of abetment as defined under Section 45 BNS, so as to constitute the offence under Section 108 BNS. She is ready and willing to join the



CRM-M-60272-2025 (O&M) 2

investigation as and when required by the investigating agency and will cooperate.

Notice of motion.

At the asking of the Court, Mr. Manipal Singh Atwal, DAG Punjab accepts notice on behalf of respondent-State.

Meanwhile, the petitioner is directed to join the investigation on or before 11.11.2025. In the event of her arrest, she shall be released on interim bail to the satisfaction of the Arresting Officer, subject to compliance of conditions as enshrined under Section 482 (2) BNSS.

However, it is clarified that if the petitioner does not join and cooperate with the Investigating Agency as required by the Arresting/Investigating Officer, this interim order shall be deemed to have been vacated.

Adjourned to 08.12.2025”

2. Learned counsel submits that in pursuance of the aforementioned order, the petitioner has not only joined investigation but also fully cooperated with the investigating agency. He further submits that in case the investigating agency requires the petitioner to appear, she shall make herself available without demur.

3. Learned State counsel on instructions affirms the factum of joining the investigation by the petitioner and cooperating with the investigating agency. He also submits that at this stage, the petitioner is not required for further custodial interrogation.

4. In view of the above and without expressing any opinion on the merits of the case, anticipatory bail petition filed by the petitioner is allowed



CRM-M-60272-2025 (O&M) 3

and the order dated 30.10.2025 granting interim bail to her, is hereby made absolute, subject to compliance of conditions as specified under Section 438(2) Cr.P.C. (now 482(2) of BNSS, 2023)

5. However, it is made clear that if the petitioner fails to join and cooperate with the investigating agency as and when required, the State would be at liberty to move an application for cancellation of the present anticipatory bail granted to her.

**(AMAN CHAUDHARY)
JUDGE**

December 08, 2025
dinesh

Whether speaking	:	Yes/No
Whether reportable	:	Yes/No