



116-CWP-31415-2025

-1-

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CWP-31415-2025

Date of decision: 28.10.2025

Sangeeta and another**....Petitioners.**

Versus

Bank of Baroda**....Respondent.**

**CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SHEEL NAGU, CHIEF JUSTICE
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SANJIV BERRY, JUDGE**

Present:- Mr. Parveen Chauhan, Advocate,
for the petitioners.

Mr. C.S. Pasricha, Advocate and
Mr. Kamal Dev Sharma, Advocate and
Mr. Saurabh Sudhir, Advocate,
for respondent.

.....

SHEEL NAGU, CHIEF JUSTICE (Oral)

This is a second round of litigation by the petitioners/borrowers, assailing coercive steps being taken u/s 13(4) of the Securitisation and Reconstruction of Financial Assets and Enforcement of Security Interest Act, 2002 (for short 'the SARFAESI Act') by the Respondent/bank. The earlier round of litigation was exhausted by way of an order dated 12.03.2024 passed in CWP-5249-2021, '*Sangeeta and another vs. Bank of Baroda*' (Annexure P-5) whereby the petition was dismissed as withdrawn with liberty to the petitioner to avail the remedy available to the petitioner according to law.



116-CWP-31415-2025

-2-

2. Instead of availing the remedy u/s 17 of the SARFAESI Act, i.e. to approach the Debt Recovery Tribunal, this petition has yet again been preferred, without showing the extraordinary circumstances under which the writ jurisdiction of this Court is invoked.

3. Accordingly, this Court declines entertainment of this petition by dismissing the same with liberty to approach before the Debt Recovery Tribunal to the petitioners.

(SHEEL NAGU)
CHIEF JUSTICE

(SANJIV BERRY)
JUDGE

28.10.2025
preeti

i)	<i>Whether speaking/reasoned?</i>	<i>Yes/No</i>
ii)	<i>Whether reportable?</i>	<i>Yes/No</i>