



CRM-M-59228-2025 (O&M) 1

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH

208

CRM-M-59228-2025 (O&M)
Date of Decision:15.12.2025

Ranjit Singh @ Minta

....Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab

.....Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AMAN CHAUDHARY

Present: Mr. Gourave Bhayyia, Advocate, for the petitioner.

Mr. Manipal Singh Atwal, DAG, Punjab.

AMAN CHAUDHARY, J. (Oral)

1. On 03.11.2025, this Court had passed the following order:-

“Learned counsel contends that the petitioner has been falsely implicated in the case. It is case of version and cross version. The incident shown in the DDR, prior to the one, for which FIR has been registered by him. Simple injury has been caused by him to Anil Kumar @ Honey Pandit and two others. One criminal case is pending against him while the others are either untraced or quashed. He is ready and willing to join the investigation as and when required by the investigating agency and will cooperate.

Notice of motion.

At the asking of the Court, Mr. Jasjit Singh, DAG, Punjab, Mr. Saurav Khurana, Advocate and Mr. Himmat Singh Deol,



CRM-M-59228-2025 (O&M) 2

Advocate, accept notice on behalf of respondent-State, injured-Mahipal and complainant.

Meanwhile, the petitioner is directed to join the investigation on or before 13.11.2025. In the event of his arrest, he shall be released on interim bail to the satisfaction of the Arresting Officer, subject to compliance of conditions as enshrined under Section 482(2) BNSS.

However, it is clarified that if the petitioner does not join and cooperate with the Investigating Agency as required by the Arresting/Investigating Officer, this interim order shall be deemed to have been vacated.

Adjourned to 15.12.2025.”

2. Learned counsel submits that in pursuance of the aforementioned order, the petitioner has not only joined investigation but also fully cooperated with the investigating agency. He further submits that in case the investigating agency requires the petitioner to appear, he shall make himself available without demur.

3. Learned State counsel on instructions submits that the petitioner has joined the investigation and cooperating with the investigating agency. He also submits that at this stage, the petitioner is not required for further custodial interrogation.

4. In view of the above and without expressing any opinion on the merits of the case, anticipatory bail petition filed by the petitioner is allowed and the order dated 03.11.2025 granting interim bail to him, is hereby made absolute, subject to compliance of conditions as specified under Section 438(2) Cr.P.C. (now 482(2) of BNSS, 2023)

CRM-M-59228-2025 (O&M) 3

5. However, it is made clear that if the petitioner fails to join and cooperate with the investigating agency as and when required, the State would be at liberty to move an application for cancellation of the present anticipatory bail granted to him.

(AMAN CHAUDHARY)
JUDGE

December 15, 2025
dinesh

Whether speaking	:	Yes/No
Whether reportable	:	Yes/No