



CRM-M-59215-2025 (O&M) 1

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH

208

CRM-M-59215-2025 (O&M)

Date of Decision:08.12.2025

Aashish Kumar

....Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana

.....Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AMAN CHAUDHARY

Present: Mr. Sunny Tyagi, Advocate, for the petitioner.

Mr. Gautam Kaile, DAG Haryana

AMAN CHAUDHARY, J. (Oral)

1. On 17.10.2025, this Court had passed the following order:-

“Learned counsel *inter alia* contends that there is a delay of 4 days in lodging the FIR. He alleges false implication on account of the fact that the complainant has lodged two more FIRs against the petitioner in one of which, he was acquitted merely to grab the property of the petitioner which is 7 shops in Israna. His wife had lodged a complaint that the complainant party had illegally entered into the shops in question wherein though cancellation has been filed however, protest petition has been preferred. Certain obscene gestures made by the complainant against his mother regarding which a complaint under Section 200 Cr.P.C. has been filed which is pending. He had been granted interim anticipatory bail in FIR No.11 dated 20.01.2025, the cancellation sought in it was dismissed on 06.10.2025. He is ready and willing to join the investigation as

**CRM-M-59215-2025 (O&M) 2**

and when required by the investigating agency and will cooperate.

Notice of motion.

At the asking of the Court, Mr. BS Saroha, DAG, Haryana, accepts notice on behalf of respondent-State.

Meanwhile, the petitioner is directed to join the investigation on or before 30.10.2025. In the event of his arrest, he shall be released on interim bail to the satisfaction of the Arresting Officer, subject to compliance of conditions as enshrined under Section 482(2) BNSS.

However, it is clarified that if the petitioner does not join and cooperate with the Investigating Agency as required by the Arresting/Investigating Officer, this interim order shall be deemed to have been vacated.

Adjourned to 08.12.2025.”

2. Learned counsel submits that in pursuance of the aforementioned order, the petitioner has not only joined investigation but also fully cooperated with the investigating agency. He further submits that in case the investigating agency requires the petitioner to appear, he shall make himself available without demur.

3. Learned State counsel on instructions submits that the petitioner has joined the investigation and cooperating with the investigating agency. He also submits that at this stage, the petitioner is not required for further custodial interrogation.

4. In view of the above and without expressing any opinion on the merits of the case, anticipatory bail petition filed by the petitioner is allowed



CRM-M-59215-2025 (O&M) 3

and the order dated 19.10.2025 granting interim bail to him, is hereby made absolute, subject to compliance of conditions as specified under Section 438(2) Cr.P.C. (now 482(2) of BNSS, 2023)

5. However, it is made clear that if the petitioner fails to join and cooperate with the investigating agency as and when required, the State would be at liberty to move an application for cancellation of the present anticipatory bail granted to him.

**(AMAN CHAUDHARY)
JUDGE**

December 08, 2025
dinesh

Whether speaking	:	Yes/No
Whether reportable	:	Yes/No