



CRM-M-60649-2025

1

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

125

CRM-M-60649-2025

Decided on: 27.11.2025

PAL SINGH @ PALA

.....Petitioner

Versus

STATE OF PUNJAB AND ANR

.....Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SANJAY VASHISTH

Present: Mr. Balbir Singh Jaswal, Advocate for the petitioners.

Mr. Neeraj Madaan, Sr. DAG, Punjab.
(appears on advance notice).

SANJAY VASHISTH, J.

1. Present petition has been filed under Section 482 of the Cr.P.C., seeking issuance of directions to the learned trial court to proceed with the trial in FIR No. 101 dated 25.06.2006, under Sections 379/411 IPC, registered at Police Station Rangar Nangal, District Gurdaspur, in accordance with law. The challan of the case was filed on 10.08.2006 in the absence of the petitioner and other accused, as per the status report filed by Respondent No. 2 dated 05.03.2025 (P-4). However, till date, no final order has been passed, although more than 19 years have elapsed.

2. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the FIR in the case was registered on 25.06.2006, and the final report under Section 173 Cr.P.C. qua seven of the accused, namely: i) Balkar Singh (arrested on 25.06.2006), ii) Sukhdev Singh @ Gora (arrested on 25.06.2006), iii) Bachan Lal (arrested on 25.06.2006), iv) Major Singh (arrested on 25.06.2006), v) Pal Singh @ Pala (arrested on 25.06.2006), vi) Waryam

**CRM-M-60649-2025**

2

Singh (arrested on 25.06.2006), vii) Paramjit Singh @ Pammi (arrested on 25.06.2006), was submitted to the Court on 10.08.2006, as per the report of the SHO, Police Station Rangar Nangal, dated 05.03.2025 (P-4), in the absence of the petitioner and other accused. However, till date, no final order has been passed, even though more than 19 years have elapsed, and the final outcome remains unknown to the petitioner.

3. Counsel submits that the report dated 05.03.2025 was submitted pursuant to an application moved by co-accused Balkar Singh before the Court of Judicial Magistrate First Class, Batala, which was dismissed vide order dated 09.06.2025 (P-6) on account of his non-appearance.

4. Petitioner has filed the instant petition blaming the Court without first approaching the concerned trial court. Accordingly, the petition is dismissed.

5. However, it shall be open for the petitioner to examine the case file by following the prescribed procedure and to acquaint himself with the relevant facts of the case and the stage at which it is pending before the Court.

(SANJAY VASHISTH)
JUDGE

November 27, 2025
rashmi

Whether Speaking/Reasoned: **YES/NO**
Whether Reportable: **YES/NO**