



**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CRM-M-63052-2024
Date of decision: 16.01.2025

LALIT GOYAL AND ORS

...PETITIONERS

VERSUS

STATE OF HARYANA AND ANOTHER

...RESPONDENTS

CORAM:- HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SANJIV BERRY

Present: - Mr. Yogesh Goel, Advocate for the petitioners.

Mr. Surender Singh, AAG, Haryana.

Mr. Rajat Kumar, Advocate for
Mr. Puneet Bhushan, Advocate
for respondent No.2.

SANJIV BERRY, J. (ORAL)

By way of present petition filed under Section 528 of BNSS, 2023, petitioners seek quashing of FIR (Annexure P-1) and all consequential proceedings arising therefrom on the basis of compromise/affidavit dated 20.10.2024 (Annexure P-2) executed between the parties. Details of the FIR are as follows:

FIR No.	Dated	Sections	Police Station
124	21.07.2020	420, 467, 468, 471, 120-B of IPC and 195-A of IPC added later on.	Bhattu Kalan, District Fatehabad.

2. With the intervention of respectables and elderly people of the society, the parties have arrived at a settlement vide compromise/affidavit 20.10.2024 (Annexure P-2), which is duly signed by them. The matter was referred to the Court below for recording of statements of the parties and to report with respect to genuineness of the compromise arrived at between the parties. Learned Additional Chief Judicial Magistrate, Fatehabad, vide report



CRM-M-63052-2024

2

dated 13.01.2025 has apprised this Court that the compromise arrived at between the parties is genuine and without any pressure. A copy of Receipt of costs of Rs. 1,00,000/- has been placed on record in compliance of the order dated 19.12.2024.

3. Learned counsel for respondent No.2 does not oppose the compromise having been effected between the parties.

4. In view of the report of the learned Additional Chief Judicial Magistrate, Fatehabad, and in view of the decision of the Hon'ble Supreme Court in “Gian Singh Vs. State of Punjab and another”, 2012(4) RCR (Criminal) 543 and “Narinder Singh and Others Vs. State of Punjab and Another”, (2014) 6 SCC 466, this Court is of the opinion that no useful purpose can be served by keeping the criminal proceedings pending, since the respondents have themselves compromised the dispute with the petitioners/accused persons.

5. In the circumstances, the present petition is allowed. FIR No. 124 dated 21.07.2020 under Sections 420, 467, 468, 471, 120-B of IPC and 195-A of IPC added later on, registered at Police Station Bhattu Kalan, District Fatehabad, and all consequential proceedings arising therefrom, are hereby quashed on the basis of compromise qua the present petitioners.

(SANJIV BERRY)
JUDGE

16.01.2025
kanika

- | | | |
|-----|----------------------------|--------|
| i) | Whether speaking/reasoned? | Yes/No |
| ii) | Whether reportable? | Yes/No |