

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

CRM-M-64666-2024
Date of decision: 03.02.2025

TEJPAL YADAV ...PETITIONERS

VERSUS

STATE OF HARYANA AND OTHERS ..RESPONDENTS

CORAM:- HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SANJIV BERRY

Present: - Ms. Malika, Advocate for
Ms. Punam Singh, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. Vipul Sherwal, AAG, Haryana.

Mr. Vikas Gulia, Advocate
for respondents No.2 and 3.

SANJIV BERRY, J. (ORAL)

By way of present petition filed under Section 528 of BNSS, 2023, petitioner seeks quashing of FIR (Annexure P-1) and all consequential proceedings arising therefrom on the basis of compromise/affidavits dated 27.11.2024 and 28.11.2024 (Annexure P-2, Annexure P-3 and Annexure P-4 respectively) executed between the parties. Details of the FIR are as follows:

FIR No.	Dated	Sections	Police Station
476	12.12.2023	307, 323, 452, (452 deleted and 450 added later on and Section 25 (1-B)(a) of Arms Act	Bilaspur, District Gurugram.

2. With the intervention of respectables and elderly people of the society, the parties have arrived at a settlement vide compromise/affidavit 27.11.2024 and 28.11.2024 (Annexure P-2, Annexure P-3 and Annexure P-4 respectively), which is duly signed by them. The matter was referred to



CRM-M-64666-2024

2

the Court below for recording of statements of the parties and to report with respect to genuineness of the compromise arrived at between the parties. Learned District and Sessions Judge, Gurugram, vide report dated 23.01.2025 has apprised this Court that the compromise arrived at between the parties is genuine and without any pressure.

3. Learned counsel for respondents No.2 and 3 do not oppose the compromise having been effected between the parties.

4. In view of the report of the learned District and Sessions Judge, Gurugram, and in view of the decision of the Hon'ble Supreme Court in “Gian Singh Vs. State of Punjab and another”, 2012(4) RCR (Criminal) 543 and “Narinder Singh and Others Vs. State of Punjab and Another”, (2014) 6 SCC 466, this Court is of the opinion that no useful purpose can be served by keeping the criminal proceedings pending, since the respondents have themselves compromised the dispute with the petitioner/ accused person.

5. In the circumstances, the present petition is allowed. FIR No. 476 dated 12.12.2023 under Sections 307, 323, 452, (452 deleted and 450 added later on and Section 25 (1-B)(a) of Arms Act, registered at Police Station Bilaspur, District Gurugram, and all consequential proceedings arising therefrom, are hereby quashed on the basis of compromise qua the present petitioner.

03.02.2025

kanika

(SANJIV BERRY)
JUDGE