



**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

114

CWP-32815 of 2024

Date of Decision:27.01.2025

Jagdish Kumar

....Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab and others

.....Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI

Present: Mr. Ankur Malik, Advocate,
for the petitioner.

Mr. T.P.S. Chawla, Sr. DAG, Punjab

HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI, J. (Oral)

1. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that all the facts to press the prayer could not be mentioned in the present petition including the detail of property, which was acquired and the compensation paid so as to claim the benefit of employment, apart from the appointment given to the son namely Balwinder Kumar of Khayalo Ram i.e. the grandfather of the petitioner. Hence, the present petition may kindly be disposed of having been not pressed any further with liberty to file fresh one with the same cause of action with better particulars.

2. Ordered accordingly.

(HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI)
JUDGE

January 27, 2025

dinesh

Whether speaking	:	Yes/No
Whether reportable	:	Yes/No