

CRM-M-61163-2024
Date of decision: 07.04.2025

....Petitioner

Versus

....Respondent

CORAM:- HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SANJIV BERRY

Present:- Mr. Vipin Mahajan, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr. Ankit Grewal, DAG Punjab.

• • • • •

SANJIV BERRY, J. (ORAL)

By way of present petition filed under Section 482 BNSS, 2023, the petitioner seeks anticipatory bail in case FIR as under: -

FIR No.	Dated	Sections	Police Station
29	17.09.2024	306, 506, 34 IPC	Dorangla, District Gurdaspur

2. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that in compliance to the order dated 05.03.2025, the petitioner has joined the investigation.

3. During the course of hearing on 05.03.2025, following order had been passed: -

“ *Heard.*

It is inter alia contended by learned counsel for the petitioner that the petitioner has been falsely implicated in this case having no concern whatsoever with the alleged occurrence. He contends that the alleged role of the



petitioner is at par with that of co-accused Harpinder Singh, who has been granted the concession of bail vide order dated 22.11.2024 passed in CRM-M-58386-2024. He submits that the petitioner is neither involved in the occurrence nor has any criminal antecedents, hence, prays for grant of bail.

On query, learned State counsel on instructions from SI Devender Singh has very fairly admitted that the role of the petitioner is at par with coaccused Harpinder Singh.

Be it the case, without commenting on the merits of the case, it is deemed appropriate that the petitioner should join the investigation first, before deciding the petition on merits. Accordingly, the petitioner is hereby directed to join investigation within seven days from today and co-operate in the same. In the event of the arrest of the petitioner, he shall be released on interim bail on his furnishing personal/surety bonds to the satisfaction of the Arresting Officer/Investigating Officer. He shall also abide by conditions as envisaged under Section 482(2) BNSS.

List on 28.03.2025.”

4. Learned State counsel on instructions received from ASI Lekh Raj informs the Court that the petitioner has joined the investigation and is neither required for further investigation nor for any custodial interrogation.

5. Keeping in view the above submissions made by learned State counsel and the fact that the petitioner had joined the investigation consequent to the order dated 05.03.2025 passed by this Court, interim bail granted vide order dated 05.03.2025 is hereby confirmed, subject to conditions as envisaged under Section 482(2) of BNSS. Further the petitioner is directed to join investigation as and when required in future by way of written notice for such purpose to be served by Investigating Officer of this case upon the petitioner; he will not tamper with the

evidence nor will influence the witnesses and will not leave the country without prior permission of the Court.

- 6. The petition stands allowed.
- 7. It is made clear that anything contained hereinabove shall not be construed to be an expression of opinion on the merits of the case.

07.04.2025
puneet

(SANJIV BERRY)
JUDGE

- | | | |
|-----|----------------------------|--------|
| i) | Whether speaking/reasoned? | Yes/No |
| ii) | Whether reportable? | Yes/No |