



IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA

201

CRM-M-59207-2025 (O&M)

Date of decision: 14.11.2025

Mohd. Juned @ Dama

...Petitioner(s)

VERSUS

State of Haryana

...Respondent(s)

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE VINOD S. BHARDWAJ

Present :- Mr. Salman Ahmed, Advocate for the petitioner(s).

Ms. Chhavi Sharma, AAG Haryana.

VINOD S. BHARDWAJ, J. (Oral)

1. The instant first petition has been filed for grant of anticipatory bail to the petitioner in case bearing FIR No.109 dated 09.07.2024 registered under Sections 309(4), 126(2), 140(3) and 61(2) of Bharatiya Nyaya Sanhita, 2023 at Police Station Rozka Meo, District Nuh.

2. The instant FIR has been registered on the statement of one Atik Ahmed to the effect that he, along with Yaseen (son of Butari) and Sahir (son of Kallu), residents of Village Singar, District Nuh, were travelling to Sohna in a car bearing registration No. HR-93C-3855 on 08.07.2024 and when they reached near village Salamba, a woman standing on the way gave a signal for a lift. She also had a small child and hence, the complainant stopped the car so as to give her a lift. When they reached near the KMP bridge at around 6.00 p.m., after crossing the village Rewasan, a



Baleno Car of blue colour came from behind and intercepted his car. Three persons alighted from the said car, they snatched away his car keys and started giving beatings to him. The aforesaid persons then forcibly took away his car. Sahir (son of Kallu) and the said woman, along with the child, were also in the car with the assailants. During the investigation, the accused/Tasleem was arrested. He suffered his disclosure statement, admitting his involvement in the occurrence. The snatched car was also recovered from the accused/Tasleem. It transpired on investigation that the woman, who had taken the lift, was also a part of the gang that had planned the incident in question. The said woman was identified as Smt. Wajifa, wife of Aash Mohd. She was arrested on 09.07.2024 and she admitted her involvement in the occurrence. Sahir (son of Kallu), who had been abducted earlier, was later recovered on 15.07.2024, i.e. after nearly 6 days of the incident.

3. Learned counsel appearing on behalf of the petitioner contends that the petitioner has not been specifically named in the FIR and that three other co-accused have already been granted the concession of bail. He further submits that besides, the abducted person Sahir (son of Kallu) as well as the vehicle, have already been recovered, thus, no recovery is to be effected from the petitioner.

4. Learned State counsel, on the other hand, contends that the petitioner was in fact, driving the Baleno Car which was used to snatch away the vehicle in which the complainant was travelling. She contends that the



petitioner had actively participated in the crime. She submits that the petitioner is involved in as many as 06 other cases i.e. (i) FIR No.101 dated 16.05.2018 registered under Section 379 of the Indian Penal Code, 1860 at Police Station Khushkeda, Alwar, Rajasthan; (ii) FIR No.53 dated 26.01.2013 registered under Sections 148, 149, 323, 325, 342 and 506 of the Indian Penal Code, 1860 at Police Station Nuh; (iii) FIR No.226 dated 15.03.2017 registered under Section 379 of the Indian Penal Code, 1860 at Police Station Sadar, Gurugram; (iv) FIR No.167 dated 20.04.2021 registered under Section 25 of the Arms Act, 1959 at Police Station City Sohna; (v) FIR No.102 dated 17.04.2022 registered under Section 25 of the Arms Act, 1959 at Police Station Nuh; and (vi) FIR No.36 dated 25.01.2020 registered under Sections 148, 149, 307, 323, 324, 325, 326, 506 of the Indian Penal Code, 1860 and Section 25 of the Arms Act, 1959 at Police Station Sadar Nuh.

5. The incidents of highway robbery in and around the said area have seen a steep rise. Such incidents need to be sternly dealt with as each such incident creates a sense of fear and loss of faith in law and order in the minds of citizens. The fact that the petitioner is already involved in a large number of other cases diminishes possibility of reforms and indicates towards his association with crime and criminals. Invariably, the petitioner, along with Wajifa, the woman who stood along with a child so as to evoke sympathy from the passersby to give a lift to her, had adopted the methodology to commit crime under a well-hatched scheme.



6. Although the other co-accused, who had been arrested, have been granted the concession of bail, however, the same does not by itself, make out any special circumstance to claim the concession of anticipatory bail. Consequently, the present petition is **dismissed**.

(VINOD S. BHARDWAJ)
JUDGE

14.11.2025
Mangal Singh

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No
Whether reportable : Yes/No