



CRM-M-61518-2024 (O&M)

215

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

CRM-M-61518-2024 (O&M)

Date of Decision: 29.04.2025

KARAN CHANDNA

.. Petitioner

Vs.

STATE OF PUNJAB

..Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SUMEET GOEL

Present: Mr. Angel Walia, Advocate for the petitioner.
Mr. Inderjeet Singh Ladher, DAG, Punjab.
Mr. Kushagra Mahajan, Advocate
for the complainant.

...

SUMEET GOEL, J. (Oral)

1. This petition has been filed under Section 482 BNSS, 2023 for grant of anticipatory bail to the petitioner in FIR No.114 dated 04.07.2024, under Sections 419/420/467/468/471/120-B of IPC registered at Police Station Civil Lines Amritsar, District Amritsar.

2. On 11.12.2024, the following order was passed:-

“Prayer is for grant of anticipatory bail to the petitioner in criminal case having FIR No.114 dated 4.7.2024 registered under Sections 419, 420, 467, 468, 471, 120-B IPC at Police Station Civil Lines Amritsar, District Amritsar.

Counsel for the petitioner, inter alia submits that the petitioner is falsely implicated in the present case and he never appeared before any Court impersonating himself as Nirmal Singh identifier of Bachan Singh surety. He further submits that main accused Harpreet Singh is already given concession of interim bail by this Court vide order Annexure P-6 dated 7.11.2024 and the car in question is already surrendered by said Harpreet Singh. Counsel for the petitioner further submits that the petitioner is ready to join investigation with the police.

Notice of motion.

Mr. Jasjeet Singh Dhaliwal, AAG, Punjab accepts notice on behalf of the State and on instructions from ASI Rajneesh has not disputed the fact that the car in question is already surrendered by Harpreet Singh. However, the State counsel submits that the petitioner is required by the police for proper investigation of the case.

Now be listed on 19.2.2025.

CRM-M-61518-2024 (O&M)

In view of the fact that main accused Harpreet Singh is already given interim bail, the petitioner is hereby directed to join the investigation with the police and in case of arrest, he is to be released on interim bail by the investigating officer/arresting officer to his own satisfaction till the next date fixed in this case. The petitioner is also to abide by the conditions envisaged under Section 482 (2) of BNSS.”

3. Learned State counsel, on instructions from SHO Gurpreet Singh, has stated that pursuant to the order dated 11.12.2024, the petitioner has joined investigation and is no longer required for custodial interrogation.
4. In view of above, the petition is allowed and interim order dated 11.12.2024 passed by this Court is made absolute, subject to the conditions as enumerated under Section 482(2) BNSS, 2023.
5. This order should not be treated as “blanket” order. It will not be interpreted as granting petitioner indefinite protection from arrest. It shall be confined to the FIR mentioned *ibid* and will not operate in respect of any other incident that involves commission of an offence.
6. Liberty is reserved in favour of State/complainant to move for cancellation/recall of this order in case the petitioner violates any condition stipulated under Section 482(2) BNSS, 2023 or upon showing any other sufficient cause.
7. Needless to say that anything observed herein above shall not be construed to be an opinion on the merits of the case.

29.04.2025
Jasmine Kaur

(SUMEET GOEL)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned	Yes	No
Whether reportable	Yes	No