



IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

Sr. No.124

CWP-31888-2025

Date of decision: 19.11.2025

Sukhpal Singh

....Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab and others

....Respondents

**CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE DEEPAK SIBAL
HON'BLE MS. JUSTICE LAPITA BANERJI**

Present: Mr. Jaskamal Singh Grewal, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. Himanshu Raj, Addl. A.G., Punjab.

* * *

DEEPAK SIBAL, J. (Oral)

1. On November 06, 2025, this Court passed the following order:-
“On 30.10.2025, this Court passed the following
order:-

“In the interest of justice, adjourned to
06.11.2025.

On the adjourned date, learned State
counsel, who appears on advance notice,
shall inform the Court as to whether, with
regard to the land in question, the petitioner
has been paid compensation or the same has
only been tendered. ”

The afore-quoted order remains uncomplied
with by the State necessitating adjournment.

Adjourned to 19.11.2025 on which date
Deputy Commissioner, Malerkotla, shall appear
before this Court alongwith the entire record to render



CWP-31888-2025

-2-

assistance in terms of the directions contained in the afore-quoted order.”

2. In pursuance to the afore-quoted order, Deputy Commissioner, Malerkotla, has appeared to inform this Court that District Malerkotla was part of erstwhile District Sangrur and therefore, it may take some more time to ascertain as to whether any compensation was paid to the petitioner for his acquired land which is the subject matter of the present petition.

3. At this stage, learned counsel for the petitioner submits that he would be satisfied if the present writ petition is disposed of with a direction to respondent No.2 – Deputy Commissioner, Malerkotla to take a decision on the representation dated 21.03.2025 (Annexure P-2) made by the petitioner.

4. Learned State counsel has no objection if the petitioner's above prayer is accepted.

5. Accordingly, at this stage, without commenting on the merits of the case, the present petition is disposed of with a direction to respondent No.2 to take a decision on the aforesaid representation made by the petitioner, by passing speaking order(s) and after granting opportunity of hearing to all affected parties within two months from the date of receipt of a copy of this order.

6. Needless to add that the decision so taken be communicated to the petitioner and if the same is not to the satisfaction of the petitioner, he would be at liberty to challenge the same, in accordance with law.

(DEEPAK SIBAL)
JUDGE

(LAPITA BANERJI)
JUDGE

November 19, 2025

Jyoti 1

Whether speaking/reasoned
Whether reportable

Yes/No
Yes/No