

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

249

CRM-M-61403-2024
Date of Decision: 10.01.2025

DAVINDER SINGH @ LAKHI SINGH AND OTHERS

.... PETITIONERS

V/S

STATE OF PUNJAB AND OTHERS

.... RESPONDENTS

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARPREET SINGH BRAR

Present : Mr.Dhiraj Jindal, Advocate
for the petitioners.


Mr. Nitesh Sharma, D.A.G., Punjab.

Mr. Sahil Siddharth Jain, Advocate for
Mr. Rahul Garg, Advocate
for respondents No.2 to 4.

HARPREET SINGH BRAR J.(ORAL)

1. This petition has been filed under Section 528 of Bharatiya
Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita, 2023 seeking quashing of FIR No.185 dated
12.05.2020, under Sections 341, 323, 325, 506, 148, 149 of the Indian
Penal Code 1860 (Section 201 of IPC added later on, registered at Police
Station Sadar Dhuri, District Sangrur (Annexure P-1) along with all
subsequent proceedings arising therefrom on the basis of compromise dated
18.11.2024 (Annexure P-2).

2025:PHHC:002820



2. The following order was passed on 12.12.2024:

“The petitioners arraigned as accused in the FIR captioned below, have come up before this Court under Section 528 BNSS, 2023 to quash the FIR and all consequential proceedings based on the compromise amicably arrived at between the parties.


Notice served upon the official respondent through the State's counsel. In case the State wants to file its response, they must do so positively before the next date. Counsel appearing for the private respondent states on instructions that there is no objection if the FIR and all consequent proceedings mentioned in this petition are quashed.

*Given above, the petitioners and the private respondents, and all other victim(s), if not arraigned as respondents, to appear before the concerned Trial Court/Illaq Magistrate/Duty Magistrate **on or before 20.12.2024**, for getting their statements recorded with regard to the compromise arrived at between them. Before recording their statements, the Ld. Judge should ensure the following aspects and send the report, in the following format, preferably before the next date fixed in this court:*

<i>Name of the reporting Court</i>	
------------------------------------	--

<i>FIR No.</i>	<i>Dated</i>	<i>Police Station</i>	<i>Sections</i>
185	12.05.2020	Sadar Dhuri, District Sangrur	341, 323, 325, 506, 148, 149 IPC (Section 201 IPC added later on)


<i>Criminal Case no. before trial Court</i>	
---	--



1.	<i>Names of the complainant/ victims(s)/aggrieved persons(s)</i>	
2.	<i>Dates on which the statement(s) of the complainant/victims(s)/aggrieved persons(s) were recorded</i>	
3.	<i>Has the identity of the complainant/victims(s)/ aggrieved persons(s) been verified?</i>	<i>Yes/No</i>
4.	<i>Whether all the victims/ all the aggrieved persons have compromised the matter?</i>	<i>Yes/No</i>
5.	<i>Is there pressure, threat, or coercion upon the victim(s)/aggrieved person(s)/complainant?</i>	<i>Yes/No</i>
6.	<i>Names of the accused person(s)</i>	
7.	<i>Dates on which the statement(s) of the accused persons(s) recorded</i>	
8.	<i>Whether all the accused have compromised the matter? If no, then the names of the accused who have compromised.</i>	<i>Yes/No</i>
9.	<i>Whether proclamation proceedings are pending against any accused?</i>	<i>Yes/No</i>
10.	<i>Has the police report been filed or not?</i>	<i>Yes/No</i>
11.	<i>Notice of accusation /Charges have been framed or not?</i>	<i>Yes/No</i>
12.	<i>Sections of statutes invoked in the matter</i>	
13.	<i>Whether the court is satisfied with the genuineness of the compromise?</i>	<i>Yes/No</i>

There would be no need for a certified copy of this order, and any Advocate for the Petitioners/State can download this order and other particulars as may be required, from the official web page of this Court, and

2025:PHHC:002820



attest it to be a true copy. The concerned court can also verify its authenticity and may download and use the downloaded copy for immediate use, if required.

List on 10.01.2025.”

3. In compliance of the aforesaid order, a report has been received from the concerned jurisdictional Court that the compromise between the parties is genuine and arrived at without any pressure or coercion from anyone.
4. In view of the compromise and the ratio of law laid down by the Hon’ble Supreme Court in **Narinder Singh and others vs. State of Punjab and another, (2014) 6 SCC 466**, and **Shakuntala Sawhney (Mrs) Vs. Kaushalya (Mrs.) and others (1980) 1 SCC 63** and Full Bench of this Court in **Kulwinder Singh Vs. State of Punjab 2007 (3) RCR (Crl.) 1052**, this petition is allowed and FIR No.185 dated 12.05.2020, under Sections 341, 323, 325, 506, 148, 149 of the Indian Penal Code 1860 (Section 201 of IPC added later on, registered at Police Station Sadar Dhuri, District Sangrur (Annexure P-1) along with all subsequent proceedings arising therefrom are quashed, qua the petitioners.

(HARPREET SINGH BRAR)
JUDGE

10.01.2025
anju

<i>Whether speaking/reasoned</i>	<i>Yes/No</i>
<i>Whether Reportable</i>	<i>Yes/No</i>