



370-1CRM-M-62265-2024

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CRM-M-62265-2024
Date of decision: 18.03.2025

Ratan Singh and others **....Petitioners.**

Versus

State of Punjab and others **...Respondents.**

CORAM:- HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SANJIV BERRY

Present:- Mr. Naresh Kumar Jandoli, Advocate,
for the petitioners.

Mr. Ankit Grewal, DAG, Punjab.

Mr. Sahil Koundal, Advocate,
for respondents No. 2 to 6.

.....

SANJIV BERRY, J. (ORAL)

Instant petition has been preferred under Section 528 of the Bhartiya Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita 2023 (BNSS) seeking quashing of the FIR (Annexure P-1), and all other subsequent proceedings arising therefrom on the basis of compromise effected between the parties on 02.10.2024 (Annexure P-2). Details of the FIR are as under: -

FIR No.	Dated	Sections	Police Station
90	02.08.2024	115(2), 126(2), 351(1), 3(5) of the BNS	Mehtiana, District Hoshiarpur



370-1CRM-M-62265-2024

2. With the intervention of respectables and elderly people of the society, the parties have arrived at a settlement vide compromise deed dated 02.10.2024 (Annexure P-2), which is duly signed by them. The matter was referred to the Court below for recording of statements of the parties and to report with respect to genuineness of the compromise arrived at between the parties.

3. Learned Judicial Magistrate Ist Class, Hoshiarpur, vide report dated 13.03.2025, has apprised this Court that the compromise arrived at between the parties is genuine and without any pressure. It is also reported that cost, so imposed, vide order dated 21.02.2025 has also been deposited.

4. Respondents Nos. 2 to 6 are represented by their counsel and do not oppose the compromise having been effected between the parties voluntarily and without any pressure or coercion.

5. In view of the aforesaid report of the learned Judicial Magistrate Ist Class, Hoshiarpur, and in view of the decision of the Hon'ble Supreme Court in “Gian Singh Vs. State of Punjab and another”, 2012(4) RCR (Criminal) 543 and “Narinder Singh and Others Vs. State of Punjab and Another”, (2014) 6 SCC 466, this Court is of the opinion that no useful purpose can be served by keeping with the criminal proceedings pending, since the respondents have themselves compromised the dispute with the petitioners/ accused persons.



370-1**CRM-M-62265-2024**

6. In the circumstances, the present petition is allowed. FIR No. 90 dated 02.08.2024 under Sections 115(2), 126(2), 351(1), 3(5) of the BNS registered at Mehtiana, District Hoshiarpur (Annexure P-1) and all consequential proceedings arising therefrom, are hereby quashed on the basis of compromise qua the present petitioners.

18.03.2025
preeti

(SANJIV BERRY)
JUDGE

- | | | |
|-----|----------------------------|--------|
| i) | Whether speaking/reasoned? | Yes/No |
| ii) | Whether reportable? | Yes/No |