

2025.PHHC:172483



**IN THE HIGH COURT FOR THE STATES OF PUNJAB AND
HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH**

259

CRM-M-59153-2025 (O&M)

Date of decision: 10.12.2025

Jai Prakash @ Jay Prakash**...Petitioner****Versus****State of Haryana****...Respondent****CORAM: HON'BLE MRS. JUSTICE MANISHA BATRA**

Present:- Mr. J. P. Jangu, Advocate
For the petitioner.

Ms. Himani Arora, DAG, Haryana.

MANISHA BATRA, J. (Oral)

1. Prayer in this petition, filed under Section 483 of Bharatiya Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita, 2023, is for grant of regular bail to the petitioner in case bearing FIR No. 114 dated 10.05.2024, registered under Sections 386, 506, 120-B and 384 of IPC and Section 25 of the Arms Act, 1959 (Section 384 of IPC and Sections 25(1-B)a, 25(6), 29(b) and 25(1a) of the Arms Act added later on) at Police Station Farukhnagar, District Gurugram.

2. As per the allegations, on 10.08.2024, ASI Sanjay Kumar, posted at the aforementioned police station, received a secret information that some members of Lawrence Bishnoi gang, namely Rohit Godara, Naveen Boxer, Lipan Nehra and Pawan Nehra, were active in the city of Gurugram for extorting money from businessmen and rich people, the main members of the group were operating from foreign countries, some of them were lodged in jails and all of them were into contact with each other through different social

2025.PHHC:172483



media apps. It was also informed that they had even got murdered one businessman Sachin Goda in Rohtak. One of the members of this gang namely Rohit Godara had raised demand of ransom money of Rs.2 crores from owner of Maniram Grocery Store, Gurugram. It was informed that if the above mentioned persons and other members of the gang were apprehended and interrogated, huge quantity of contraband, ransom money and weapons could be recovered from them. On the basis of this information, the aforementioned FIR was registered. Investigation proceedings were initiated. During investigation, accused Dinesh @ Dinu was arrested on 10.05.2024. Recovery of two mobile phones, one .30 bore country made pistol along with five live cartridges was effected from him. On his identification, co-accused Jagdish @ Jaggu, Praeep, Sagar and Vishnu were also arrested on 12.05.2024, from whom also, different arms and ammunitions were recovered. Accused Ritesh @ Arya and Himanshu were arrested on 18.05.2024. One vehicle bearing registration number HR-10-AQ-8179 used by accused Himanshu at the time of committing offences had been recovered at his instance. Subsequently, accused Rupesh @ Naman, Ashish @ Deva, Harpal, Karan @ Kannu and Iqbal Singh @ Billa were arrested. On completion of investigation qua them, challan was presented in the Court on 09.08.2024. Thereafter, the petitioner was arrested on 16.08.2024. He suffered disclosure statement to the effect that he was introduced with gangster Naveen Boxer by accused Mahendra Saran, who had convinced with him to join their gang, which was involved in extorting ransom money from the businessmen of Haryana and Delhi NCR. He further disclosed that on the evening of 28.04.2024, accused Mahendra

2025.PHHC:172483



Saran had instructed him to receive ransom money of Rs. 22 Lakhs from the area of Rajgarh Bahl, Bhiwani Road and he had brought the same and had given the bag to accused Vidyadhar as per instructions of accused Mahendra Saran after taking an amount of Rs.5 Lakhs. On this disclosure, co-accused Vidyadhar was nominated as such. The petitioner got recovered one i-Phone and a Thar car. Investigation qua him stands completed and he along with the co-accused is facing trial for commission of aforementioned offences.

3. It is argued by learned counsel for the petitioner that he has been falsely implicated in this case. He is not named in the FIR. He has been implicated in this case only on the basis of suspicion and the role which was attributed to him was that he had handed over a bag containing money to co-accused Vidyadhar after taking an amount of Rs. 5 Lakhs but no recovery of any money has been effected from him. Only his mobile phone and his car have been recovered, which cannot be stated to be the incriminating pieces of evidence. He has clean antecedents. No specific involvement in the commission of subject offences has been alleged against him. His further incarceration would not serve any useful purpose. Co-accused Vidyadhar has already been granted concession of bail by this Court, vide order dated 23.09.2025 passed in **CRM-M-39590-2025**. On parity, the petitioner too deserves to be given the same benefit. It is, therefore, urged that the petition deserves to be allowed and the petitioner deserves to be released on bail.

4. Status report has been filed by the respondent-State. Learned State counsel has argued that the petitioner is a member of a gang operated by Lawrence Bishnoi, which is involved in extorting money from the


2025.PHHC.172483



businessmen and professionals by extending threats to them. On 28.04.2024, he had obtained ransom money to the tune of Rs.22 Lakhs and had given the same to co-accused Vidyadhar, who had handed over the same to Kailash Pandey on the instructions of co-accused Mahendra Saran. His complicity in the crime stands established. There are chances of his fleeing or committing similar offences, if released on bail. Hence, it is urged that the petition is liable to be dismissed.

5. This Court has heard the submissions made by both the sides.

6. The petitioner is alleged to be a member of notorious gangsters and in conspiracy with them is alleged to have received a bag containing a sum of Rs.22 Lakhs, which was the money taken by way of extortion, and the same money was handed over by him to co-accused Vidyadhar. However, no recovery has been effected at his instance. It is only on the basis of evidence adduced at trial that the question of his involvement in commission of offences of extortion of money and criminal intimidation can be determined. More so, the allegations *prima facie* do not make out any case against the petitioner for commission of offences punishable under the provisions of the Arms Act. The petitioner does not have any criminal antecedents. He is in custody since 16.08.2024. Challan has been presented. Conclusion of trial would obviously take time. Co-accused Vidyadhar has already been granted concession of bail by this Court, as mentioned above. As such, no fruitful purpose is going to be served by keeping him in custody anymore. It is well settled proposition of law that the bail is the rule and jail is an exception. Keeping in view the discussion as made above, this Court is of the considered



opinion that a case for release of the petitioner on bail has been made out. Accordingly, the petition is allowed. The petitioner is ordered to be released on regular bail, subject to his furnishing personal/surety bonds to the satisfaction of the trial Court/Duty Magistrate, concerned.

7. It is made clear that the observations made hereinabove are only for the purpose of deciding the present petition and the same shall not be construed as an expression of opinion on the merits of the case.

10.12.2025

Waseem Ansari

(MANISHA BATRA)
JUDGE

<i>Whether speaking/reasoned</i>	<i>Yes/No</i>
<i>Whether reportable</i>	<i>Yes/No</i>