



IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

217

CRM-M-58874-2025 (O&M)

Date of decision: 16.12.2025

Rajni Kumari

...Petitioner(s)

VERSUS

State of Punjab

...Respondent(s)

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE VINOD S. BHARDWAJ

Present :- None.

VINOD S. BHARDWAJ, J. (Oral)

1. The instant first petition has been filed for grant of regular bail to the petitioner(s) in case bearing FIR No.151 dated 18.08.2025, registered under Section(s) 21, 22, 27(A) and 29 of the Narcotic Drugs and Psychotropic Substances Act, 1985 at Police Station Shri Hargobindpur, Batala.

2. Lawyers are abstaining from work on account of a call from the High Court Bar Association.

3. Briefly summarized, the facts of the present case as mentioned in paragraph No.3 of the order dated 01.09.2025 passed by the trial Court reads thus:-

“3. xxxxxxxx on 18.08.2025, accused Raj Kumar @
Raju and Rajni Kumari were apprehended by the police party



of P.S. Shri Hargobindpur. From the conscious possession of accused Raj Kumar @ Raju, 153 intoxicant tablets were recovered and from the conscious possession of applicant/accused Rajni Kumari, 7 grams of Heroin along with drug money of Rs.3500/- were recovered. FIR under Section 21, 22, 27-A and 29 of NDPS Act was registered against Raj Kumar @ Raju, Rajni Kumari and Sheelu. Accused Raj Kumar @ Raju and Rajni Kumari were arrested at the spot.”.

4. It is undisputed that 7 grams of *Heroin* and Rs.3,500/- were recovered from the petitioner, whereas remaining 153 unlabeled orange-coloured narcotic tablets were recovered from co-accused/Raj Kumar @ Raju. It is also not in dispute that the petitioner is a lady aged nearly 50 years and is not involved in any other case. It further not in dispute that the case is still pending adjudication, hence, conclusion of the trial shall take a long time.

5. Taking into consideration the above undisputed facts and circumstances i.e. the petitioner being a lady aged nearly 50 years, clean antecedents and the quantity of recovered contraband from her conscious as well as the state of the trial and bearing in mind that the conclusion of the trial is likely to take a long time, I deem it fit to allow the instant petition.

6. Accordingly, the instant petition is allowed and the petitioner is ordered to be admitted to regular bail subject to her furnishing bail/surety bonds to the satisfaction of the trial Court/Duty Magistrate/Illaq Magistrate



concerned.

7. It is made clear that the petitioner shall not extend any threat and shall not influence any prosecution witness in any manner directly or indirectly.

8. The observation made hereinabove shall not be construed as an expression on the merits of the case and the trial Court shall decide the case on the basis of available material.

(VINOD S. BHARDWAJ)
JUDGE

16.12.2025
Mangal Singh

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No
Whether reportable : Yes/No