

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

113

CWP-31130-2025

Date of Decision : November 19, 2025

PANKAJ KUMAR

-PETITIONER

V/S

STATE OF PUNJAB AND ORS.

-RESPONDENTS

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE KULDEEP TIWARI

Present: Mr. Deepanshu Mehta, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. Sahil R. Bakshi, A.A.G., Punjab.

Mr. Amrinder Sidhu, Advocate
for the respondent No.7.

Mr. Sanjay Tangri, Advocate
for the respondent No.8.

Mr. Raj Kaushik, Advocate
for the respondent No.9.

KULDEEP TIWARI, J. (ORAL)

1. Upon finding that the application filed before the Assistant Labour Commissioner concerned is ambiguous and does not clearly articulate the petitioner's grievance, learned counsel for the petitioner seeks leave to withdraw the said application, with liberty to submit a fresh properly articulated application in respect of his cause of action.

2. Learned counsel appearing for the respondents collectively state that they have no objection to the request made on behalf of the petitioner.

3. In view of the above, the **impugned order dated 19.05.2025 passed by the Assistant Labour Commissioner concerned is set aside.**

The petitioner is granted liberty to file a fresh application before the competent authority for redressal of his grievance. In the event such an application is filed, the competent authority shall consider and decide the same on its own merits and in accordance with law.

4. Needless to state, this Court has not observed anything regarding the right of the petitioner.

5. **Disposed of accordingly.**

November 19, 2025
devinder

(KULDEEP TIWARI)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No
Whether Reportable : Yes/No