



- 1 -

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

220

CRM-M-60635-2024
Date of decision: 14.01.2025

AJAY KUMAR AND ORS

...Petitioners

Versus

STATE OF HARYANA

...Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SANJIV BERRY

Present : Mr. Tarun Sharma, Advocate for the petitioners.

Mr. Ramender Singh Chauhan, AAG Haryana.

SANJIV BERRY. J.(Oral)

1. Investigating Officer of the case namely ASI Shiv Kumar is present in Court today.
2. Heard.
3. Learned State counsel on instructions from ASI Shiv Kumar has stated that the petitioners are neither named in the FIR nor required to be arrested in the present case as of now and in case something comes against the petitioners during investigation then 10 days prior notice will be served upon them before effecting their arrest.
4. Faced with the situation and the specific statement given by the learned State counsel on instructions from the Investigating officer, learned counsel for the petitioners prays for withdrawal of the present petition, however, with liberty to file afresh, if the circumstances so required, in accordance with law.
5. Dismissed as withdrawn with the liberty aforesaid.

14.01.2025
amandeep

(SANJIV BERRY)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned. : Yes/No
Whether Reportable. : Yes/No