



**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CWP-31077-2025

Date of Decision : 27.11.2025

PREETI KUMARI

.....Petitioners

VERSUS

UNIVERSITY GRANTS COMMISSION AND ANOTHER

.....Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE KULDEEP TIWARI

Present : Mr. Anurag Goyal, Sr. Advocate assisted by
Mr. Siddharth Sharma, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. Ravi Sharma, Sr. Standing Counsel
for respondent no.1-UGC.

Mr. Arun Gosain, Advocate,
for respondent no.2.

KULDEEP TIWARI, J.(Oral)

1. This Court vide order dated 28.10.2025, had passed the
hereinafter extracted order:-

“Through the instant writ petition filed under Article 226/227 of the Constitution of India, a challenge is thrown to the order Annexure P-14, where through, a request for change of name of petitioner from 'Preeti' to 'Preeti Kumari', has been declined without assigning any reason whatsoever.

Notice of motion.

Mr. Ravi Sharma, Standing Counsel for the UGC, and Mr. Arun Gosain, Advocate for respondent no.2, who are present in Court on an advance notice, accepts notice on behalf of respondent no.1, and respondent no.2 respectively, and waive service.

They seeks an adjournment to have apt instructions from the quarter concerned, and to inform this Court about the

legal impediment, and the reasons for passing the impugned order without assigning any valid reason.

They will also inform this Court, whether, merely on account of a mistake on the part of the petitioner, with regard her name, entitle the respondents to stop her fellowship or not.

Adjourned to 27.11.2025.

To be shown in the urgent list.

It is made clear that no further request for an adjournment shall be entertained on the next date of hearing, on behalf of either of the parties.

Reply(ies), if any, be also filed on behalf of respondents concerned, on or before the next date of hearing, with a copy in advance to learned counsel opposite.”

2. Today, learned counsel appearing for respondent no.2 submits that the grievance of the petitioner has been redressed, as the asked for relief has already been granted to her. The original certificate with the corrected name therein as 'Preeti Kumari', instead of 'Preeti' will be handed over to the petitioner during the course of the day.

3. In view of the above decision taken by respondent no.2, learned counsel for respondent no.1 submits that UGC is in the process of reconsidering the issue afresh, and the said exercise shall be concluded within a period of four weeks from the date of passing of this order.

4. In view of above submissions, as made by learned counsel for respondent no.1-UGC, learned senior counsel for the petitioner submits that he does not wish to press the instant petition, at this stage.

5. The instant petition stands **dismissed as not pressed**, at this stage.

November 27, 2025
dharamvir

(KULDEEP TIWARI)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned. : Yes/No
Whether Reportable. : Yes/No